

SOLUTIONS FOR A NONLOCAL ELLIPTIC EQUATION INVOLVING CRITICAL GROWTH AND HARDY POTENTIAL

CHUNHUA WANG, JING YANG, JING ZHOU

ABSTRACT. In this paper, by an approximating argument, we obtain infinitely many solutions for the following Hardy-Sobolev fractional equation with critical growth

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u - \frac{\mu u}{|x|^{2s}} = |u|^{2^*-2} u + au, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

provided $N > 6s$, $\mu \geq 0$, $0 < s < 1$, $2_s^* = \frac{2N}{N-2s}$, $a > 0$ is a constant and Ω is an open bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$ which contains the origin.

Keywords: Hardy-Sobolev fractional equation; Infinitely many solutions; Variational methods.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $0 < s < 1$, $N > 6s$, $2_s^* = \frac{2N}{N-2s}$, $\bar{\mu}$ be defined later, and Ω be an open bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$ which contains the origin. We study the following nonlinear fractional problem

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u - \frac{\mu u}{|x|^{2s}} = |u|^{2_s^*-2} u + au, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\mu \geq 0$ satisfies $\frac{2_s^* \sqrt{\bar{\mu}}}{\sqrt{\bar{\mu}} - \sqrt{\mu - \bar{\mu}}} > \frac{2N}{N-6s}$, $a > 0$ is a constant, and $(-\Delta)^s$ stands for the fractional Laplacian operator in Ω with zero Dirichlet boundary values on $\partial\Omega$.

To define the fractional Laplacian operator $(-\Delta)^s$ in Ω , let $\{\lambda_k, \varphi_k\}$ be the eigenvalues and corresponding eigenfunctions of the Laplacian operator $-\Delta$ in Ω with zero Dirichlet boundary values on $\partial\Omega$,

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \varphi_k = \lambda_k \varphi_k, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \varphi_k = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

normalized by $\|\varphi_k\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1$. Then one can define $(-\Delta)^s$ for $s \in (0, 1)$ by

$$(-\Delta)^s u = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^s c_k \varphi_k,$$

which clearly maps

$$H_0^s(\Omega) := \left\{ u = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \varphi_k \in L^2(\Omega) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^s c_k^2 < \infty \right\}$$

into $L^2(\Omega)$. Moreover, $H_0^s(\Omega)$ is a Hilbert space equipped with an inner product

$$\left\langle \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \varphi_k, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_k \varphi_k \right\rangle_{H_0^s(\Omega)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^s c_k d_k, \quad \text{if } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \varphi_k, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_k \varphi_k \in H_0^s(\Omega).$$

Now we can write the functional corresponding to (1.1) as

$$(1.2) \quad I(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left(|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u|^2 - \mu \frac{u^2}{|x|^{2s}} - au^2 \right) dx - \frac{1}{2_s^*} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2_s^*} dx, \quad u \in H_0^s(\Omega).$$

A great deal of work has currently been devoted to the study of the fractional Laplacian operator as it appears in several applications to some models related to anomalous diffusions in plasmas, flames propagation and chemical reactions in liquids, population dynamics, geophysical fluid dynamics, and American options in finance, see, e.g., [1, 4, 21, 28, 29, 31] and the references therein. We refer the reader to [8, 11, 18, 24, 36] for a nice expository and [5, 9, 12, 40] for the operator defined by the classical spectral theory and [13, 19, 38] for the operator defined via the Riesz potential.

In this paper, our interest in problem (1.1) is related to the following Hardy inequality which was proved by Herbst in [30] (see also [7, 43]):

$$(1.3) \quad \bar{\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u^2}{|x|^{2s}} dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s} \hat{u}^2 d\xi, \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

where $\hat{u}$ is the Fourier transform of u and

$$\bar{\mu} = 2^{2s} \frac{\Gamma^2(\frac{N+2s}{4})}{\Gamma^2(\frac{N-2s}{4})}.$$

Here Γ is the usual gamma function, the constant $\bar{\mu}$ is optimal and converges to the classical Hardy constant $\frac{(N-2)^2}{4}$ when $s \rightarrow 1$. Indeed, for $\alpha \in [0, \frac{N-2s}{2})$, if we denote

$$\Upsilon_\alpha = 2^{2s} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{N+2s+2\alpha}{4})\Gamma(\frac{N+2s-2\alpha}{4})}{\Gamma(\frac{N-2s-2\alpha}{4})\Gamma(\frac{N-2s+2\alpha}{4})},$$

then $\bar{\mu} = \Upsilon_0$, $\Upsilon_\alpha \rightarrow 0$ when $\alpha \rightarrow \frac{N-2s}{2}$ and the mapping $\alpha \mapsto \Upsilon_\alpha$ is monotone decreasing (see [23]).

Taking into account the behavior of the Fourier transform with respect to the homogeneity, one has (see [20, 26, 32, 35])

$$\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s} \hat{u}^2 d\xi, \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

and then the Hardy inequality (1.3) can be rewritten as

$$(1.4) \quad \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \geq \bar{\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u^2}{|x|^{2s}} dx, \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

In a more general setting, beyond of the Hilbertian framework, we can refer the reader to [25, 27] where an improved inequality is proved.

Recently, the semilinear fractional elliptic equations involving Hardy potential

$$(1.5) \quad (-\Delta)^s u - \frac{\mu u}{|x|^{2s}} = f(u), \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

have been widely studied since the operator $(-\Delta)^s - \mu|x|^{-2s}$ appears in the problem of stability of relativistic matter in magnetic fields. In [23], Fall studied (1.5) with $f(u) = u^p$ and $\Omega = B$, and showed that (1.5) possesses a nonnegative distributional solution if $\mu > 0$ and $p > 1$ satisfying some suitable conditions. Replacing $f(u) = u^p + \lambda u^q$, Barrios, Medina and Peral [6] considered (1.5) and discussed the existence and multiplicity of solutions depending on the value p to (1.5). Particularly, they verified the existence of (1.5) if $p = p(\mu, s) = \frac{N+2s-2\alpha_s}{N-2s-2\alpha_s}$ is the threshold for $\alpha_s \in (0, \frac{N-2s}{2})$. Choi and Seok [18] considered problem (1.1) with $\mu = 0$. They obtained the existence of infinity many solutions of (1.1) for any $a > 0$.

In [16], Cao and Yan also considered problem (1.1) with $s = 1$. It was proved that (1.1) has infinitely many solutions if $N \geq 7$ and $0 \leq \mu < \frac{(N-2)^2}{4} - 4$ with $a > 0$ and $s = 1$. So motivated by [16, 18], the aim of this paper is to study the existence of infinity many solutions of the Hardy-Sobolev fractional equation (1.1). Now we state our main result as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $a > 0$, $N > 6s$ and $0 \leq \mu < \Upsilon_s$ satisfying $\frac{2_s^* \sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\mu} - \mu} > \frac{2N}{N-6s}$. Then (1.1) has infinitely many solutions.*

Remark 1.1. Our result extends the results in [18, 42] for the particular case of $\mu = 0$. Since there is no Hardy term in [18], they only required that $N > 6s$.

Remark 1.2. When $s \rightarrow 1$, the assumptions that $0 \leq \mu < \Upsilon_s$ and $\frac{2_s^* \sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\mu} - \mu} > \frac{2N}{N-6s}$ in Theorem 1.1 are equivalent to $0 \leq \mu < \frac{N(N-4)}{4}$ and $\mu < \frac{(N-2)^2}{4} - 4$ respectively, that is just $0 \leq \mu < \frac{(N-2)^2}{4} - 4$. So our result is uniform with the result in [16] when $s \rightarrow 1$.

As in [16, 18], one of the main difficulties to prove Theorem 1.1 by using variational methods is that $I(u)$ does not satisfy the Palais-Smale condition for large energy level, since 2_s^* is the critical exponent for the Sobolev embedding from $H^s(\Omega)$ to $L^{2_s^*}(\Omega)$. Another difficulty is that, unlike [18], every nontrivial solution of (1.1) is singular at $\{x = 0\}$ if $\mu \neq 0$ (see [6]). So different techniques are needed to deal with the case $\mu \neq 0$. In order to overcome the first difficulty, we first look at the following perturbed problem:

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u - \frac{\mu u}{|x|^{2s}} = |u|^{2_s^*-2-\epsilon} u + au, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ is small.

The functional corresponding to (1.6) becomes

$$(1.7) \quad I_\epsilon(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left(|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u|^2 - \mu \frac{u^2}{|x|^{2s}} - au^2 \right) dx - \frac{1}{2_s^* - \epsilon} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2_s^* - \epsilon} dx, \quad u \in H_0^s(\Omega).$$

Now $I_\epsilon(u)$ is an even functional and satisfies the Palais-Smale condition in all energy levels. It follows from the symmetric mountain-pass lemma [3, 33], (1.6) has infinitely many solutions. More precisely, there are positive numbers $c_{\epsilon, l}$, $l = 1, 2, \dots$, with $c_{\epsilon, l} \rightarrow \infty$ as $l \rightarrow +\infty$, and a solution $u_{\epsilon, l}$ for (1.6) satisfying

$$I_\epsilon(u_{\epsilon, l}) = c_{\epsilon, l}.$$

Moreover, $c_{\epsilon, l} \rightarrow c_l < +\infty$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Now we want to study the behavior of $u_{\epsilon, l}$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ for each fixed l . If we can prove that $u_{\epsilon, l}$ converges strongly in $H_0^s(\Omega)$ to u_l as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, then u_l is a solution of (1.1) with $I(u_l) = c_l$. This will imply that (1.1) has infinitely many solutions. Thus Theorem 1.1 is a direct consequence of the following result.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that $a > 0$, $N > 6s$ and $0 \leq \mu < \Upsilon_s$ satisfying $\frac{2_s^* \sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\mu} - \mu} > \frac{2N}{N-6s}$. Then for any sequence u_n , which is a solution of (1.6) with $\epsilon = \epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$, satisfying $\|u_n\| \leq C$ for some constant independent of n , u_n has a subsequence, which converges strongly in $H_0^s(\Omega)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Following the ideas in [15, 16, 18], to prove Theorem 1.2, we shall prove the strong convergence of $u_{\epsilon, l}$ by using a local Pohozaev identity to exclude the possibility of concentration. We would like to point out that since an important feature of the fractional Laplacian is its nonlocal property, it turns out from several technical reasons that studying our nonlocal equation (1.6) directly is not suitable for establishing Theorem 1.2. So different from [15, 16], like [18, 42], we need to realize the nonlocal operator $(-\Delta)^s$ in Ω through a local problem in $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$.

To explain this, we have to introduce some more function spaces on $\mathcal{D} = \Omega \times (0, \infty)$, where Ω is either a smooth bounded domain or $\mathbb{R}^N$. If Ω is bounded, then we define the function space $H_0^1(t^{1-2s}, \mathcal{D})$ as the completion of

$$C_{0,L}^\infty(\mathcal{D}) := \{\bar{u} \in C^\infty(\bar{\mathcal{D}}) : \bar{u} = 0 \text{ on } \partial_L \mathcal{D} = \partial\Omega \times [0, \infty)\}$$

with respect to the norm

$$(1.8) \quad \|\bar{u}\|_{H_0^1(t^{1-2s}, \mathcal{D})} = \left(\int_{\mathcal{D}} t^{1-2s} |\nabla \bar{u}|^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then it is a Hilbert space endowed with the inner product

$$(\bar{u}, \bar{v})_{H_0^1(t^{1-2s}, \mathcal{D})} = \int_{\mathcal{D}} t^{1-2s} \nabla \bar{u} \nabla \bar{v} dx dt.$$

In the same manner, we define the space $D^1(t^{1-2s}, \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1})$ as the completion of $C_0^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}})$ with respect to the norm

$$\|\bar{u}\|_{D^1(t^{1-2s}, \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1})} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} |\nabla \bar{u}|^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Recall that if Ω is a smooth bounded domain, then it is verified that (see [13], Proposition 2.1; [17], Proposition 2.1; [41], Section 2)

$$H_0^s(\Omega) = \{u = \text{tr}|_{\Omega \times \{0\}} \bar{u} : \bar{u} \in H_0^1(t^{1-2s}, \mathcal{D})\},$$

and

$$(1.9) \quad \|\bar{u}(\cdot, 0)\|_{H_0^s(\Omega)} \leq C \|\bar{u}\|_{H_0^1(t^{1-2s}, \mathcal{D})}$$

for some $C > 0$ independent of $\bar{u} \in H_0^1(t^{1-2s}, \mathcal{D})$. Similarly, it holds by taking trace that

$$D^s(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{u = \text{tr}|_{\mathbb{R}^N \times \{0\}} \bar{u} : \bar{u} \in D^1(t^{1-2s}, \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1})\},$$

and

$$(1.10) \quad \|\bar{u}(\cdot, 0)\|_{D^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C \|\bar{u}\|_{D^1(t^{1-2s}, \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1})}$$

for some $C > 0$ independent of $\bar{u} \in D^1(t^{1-2s}, \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1})$.

Now we are ready to consider the fractional harmonic extension of a function u defined in Ω , where Ω is either a smooth bounded domain or $\mathbb{R}^N$. By the celebrated results of Caffarelli and Silvestre [13] (for $\mathbb{R}^N$) and Cabré and Tan [12] (for bounded domains, see also [9, 39, 41]), if we set $\bar{u} \in H_0^1(t^{1-2s}, \mathcal{D})$ (or $D^1(t^{1-2s}, \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1})$) as the unique solution of the equation

$$(1.11) \quad \begin{cases} \text{div}(t^{1-2s} \nabla \bar{u}) = 0, & \text{in } \mathcal{D}, \\ \bar{u} = 0, & \text{on } \partial_L \mathcal{D}, \\ \bar{u}(x, 0) = u(x) & \text{for } x \in \Omega \end{cases}$$

for some fixed function $u \in H_0^s(\Omega)$ (or $D^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$), then

$$\mathcal{A}_s \bar{u} := -d_s \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t^{1-2s} \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial t}(x, t) \text{ for } x \in \Omega$$

is well defined and one must have

$$(-\Delta)^s u = \mathcal{A}_s \bar{u},$$

with

$$d_s := \frac{2^{1-2s} \Gamma(1-s)}{\Gamma(s)}.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume throughout this paper that $d_s = 1$, that is,

$$(1.12) \quad (-\Delta)^s u = \mathcal{A}_s \bar{u} = - \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t^{1-2s} \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial t}(x, t).$$

We call this $\bar{u}$ the s -harmonic extension of u and we point out that by a density argument, (1.12) is satisfied in weak sense for $u \in H_0^s(\Omega)$ (or $D^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$). In other words, for any $u, \phi \in H_0^s(\Omega)$ (or $D^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$), there holds

$$\langle u, \phi \rangle_{H_0^s(\Omega)} = \langle \bar{u}, \bar{\phi} \rangle_{H_0^1(t^{1-2s}, \mathcal{D})}.$$

Thus the trace inequality (1.9) is improved as

$$(1.13) \quad \|\bar{u}(\cdot, 0)\|_{H_0^s(\Omega)} = \|\bar{u}\|_{H_0^1(t^{1-2s}, \mathcal{D})}.$$

Therefore from the above analysis, we can deduce that if a function u is a weak solution to the nonlocal problem

$$(1.14) \quad \begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u = g, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

if and only if its s -harmonic extension $\bar{u}$ is a weak solution to the local problem

$$(1.15) \quad \begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(t^{1-2s} \nabla \bar{u}) = 0, & \text{in } \mathcal{D}, \\ \bar{u} = 0, & \text{on } \partial_L \mathcal{D}, \\ \mathcal{A}_s \bar{u} = g(x), & \text{on } \Omega \times \{0\}, \end{cases}$$

where $g \in L^{\frac{2N}{N+2s}}(\Omega)$. Moreover, we say that a function $u \in H_0^s(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of (1.14) provided

$$(1.16) \quad \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \phi dx = \int_{\Omega} g \phi dx$$

for all $\phi \in H_0^s(\Omega)$ and a function $\bar{u}$ is a weak solution of (1.15) if

$$(1.17) \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}} t^{1-2s} \nabla \bar{u} \nabla \bar{\phi} dx dt = \int_{\Omega} g \bar{\phi}(x, 0) dx$$

for all $\bar{\phi} \in H_0^1(t^{1-2s}, \mathcal{D})$.

Hence, as mentioned before, rather than studying the nonlocal problem (1.1) directly, it is better to consider the so-called s -harmonic extension problem

$$(1.18) \quad \begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(t^{1-2s} \nabla \bar{u}) = 0, & \text{in } \mathcal{D}, \\ \bar{u} = 0, & \text{on } \partial_L \mathcal{D}, \\ \mathcal{A}_s \bar{u} = \frac{\mu u}{|x|^{2s}} + |u|^{2^*_s-2} u + au, & \text{on } \Omega \times \{0\}. \end{cases}$$

By virtue of considering (1.18), one can easily obtain the decomposition of approximating solutions and establish a local Pohozaev identity in small balls which may contain the origin. Then applying this identity, we can exclude the possibility of concentration and prove the strong convergence of approximating solutions.

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 extend the results in [18, 42] to the fractional Laplacian problem with Hardy term. We want to stress that it is more difficult to obtain the estimates in order to prove these results for (1.1). Like [18, 42], the main difficulty in the study of (1.18) is that we need to carry out the boundary estimates. This is greatly different from the usual Laplacian equations studied in [15, 16, 18] which mainly involving the interior estimates.

Throughout this paper, we denote the norm of $H_0^s(\Omega)$ by $\|u\| = \left(\int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$; the norm of $L^q(\Omega)$ ($1 \leq q < \infty$) by $\|u\|_q = \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$; the norm of $L^q(t^{1-2s}, \Omega)$ ($1 \leq q < \infty$) by $\|u\|_{L^q(t^{1-2s}, \Omega)} = \left(\int_{\Omega} t^{1-2s} |u|^q dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$; moreover, we denote $B_r^N(x) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : |y - x| \leq r\}$,

$B_r^{N+1}(x) := \{z = (y, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} : |z - (x, 0)| \leq r\}$, and positive constants (possibly different) by C . For simplicity, sometimes we also write $B_r^N(x)$ as $B_r(x)$.

The organization of our paper is as follows. In Section 2, we will give some integral estimates. In Section 3, we obtain some estimates on safe regions. We will prove our main result in Section 4. In order that we can give a clear line of our framework, we will list some estimates for linear problems with Hardy potential, an iteration result, a decay estimate, a local pohozaev identity and the decomposition of approximating solutions in Appendices A, B, C and D.

2. SOME INTEGRAL ESTIMATES

For any $\Lambda > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we define

$$\rho_{x,\Lambda}(u) = \Lambda^{\frac{N}{2s}} u(\Lambda(\cdot - x)), \quad u \in H_0^s(\Omega).$$

Let u_n be a solution of (1.6) with $\epsilon = \epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$, satisfying $\|u_n\| \leq C$ for some constant C independent of n , by Proposition C.1, u_n can be decomposed as

$$u_n = u_0 + \sum_{j=1}^m \rho_{0,\Lambda_{n,j}}(U_j) + \sum_{j=m+1}^h \rho_{x_{n,j},\Lambda_{n,j}}(U_j) + \omega_n.$$

In this section, we will prove a Brezis-Kato type estimate (see [10]).

In order to prove the strong convergence of u_n in $H_0^s(\Omega)$, we only need to show that the bubbles $\rho_{x_{n,j},\Lambda_{n,j}}(U_j)$ will not appear in the decomposition of u_n .

Among all the bubbles $\rho_{x_{n,j},\Lambda_{n,j}}(U_j)$, we can choose a bubble, such that this bubble has the slowest concentration rate. That is, there is j_0 such that the corresponding Λ_{n,j_0} is the lowest order infinity among all the $\Lambda_{n,j}$ appearing in the bubbles. For simplicity, we denote Λ_n the slowest concentration rate and x_n the corresponding concentration point.

Remark 2.1. Since $\frac{1}{|x|^{2s}} \in C^{2s}(\Omega \setminus B_\delta(0))$ for any $\delta > 0$ small, we know that $u_n, u_0, U_j \in C^{2s}(\Omega \setminus B_\delta(0))$. As a result, $\omega_n \in C^{2s}(\Omega \setminus B_\delta(0))$ for any $\delta > 0$ small.

For any $p_2 < 2_s^* < p_1, \alpha > 0$ and $\Lambda \geq 0$, we consider the following relation:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} \|u_1\|_{p_1} \leq \alpha, \\ \|u_2\|_{p_2} \leq \alpha \Lambda^{\frac{N}{2s} - \frac{N}{p_2}}. \end{cases}$$

Define

$$\|u\|_{p_1,p_2,\Lambda} = \inf\{\alpha > 0 : \text{there are } u_1 \text{ and } u_2, \text{ such that (2.1) holds and } |u| \leq u_1 + u_2\}.$$

To deal with the Hardy potential, we need another norm. Consider the following relation:

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} \|u_1\|_{*,p_1} \leq \alpha, \\ \|u_2\|_{*,p_2} \leq \alpha \Lambda^{\frac{N}{2s} - \frac{N}{p_2}}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\|u\|_{*,p} = \|u\|_p + \left(\mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{\frac{2p}{2s}}}{|x|^{2s}} dx \right)^{\frac{2s}{2p}}.$$

Define

$$\|u\|_{*,p_1,p_2,\Lambda} = \inf\{\alpha > 0 : \text{there are } u_1 \text{ and } u_2, \text{ such that (2.2) holds and } |u| \leq u_1 + u_2\}.$$

From the definitions, it is easy to see that $\|u\|_{p_1,p_2,\Lambda} \leq \|u\|_{*,p_1,p_2,\Lambda}$.

Let $w_n(x) = |u_n(x)|$ in Ω ; $w_n(x) = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega$. Then it is easy to check that w_n satisfies the following inequality

$$(2.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} \nabla \bar{w}_n \nabla \bar{\phi} dx dt - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{w_n \phi}{|x|^{2s}} dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (2w_n^{2_s^*-1} + A) \phi dx, \forall \bar{\phi} \in H^1(t^{1-2s}, \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}), \bar{\phi} \geq 0,$$

where $A > 0$ is a large constant.

The main result of this section is the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2. Let w_n be a solution of (2.3). For any $p_1, p_2 \in (\frac{2_s^*}{2}, \frac{2_s^* \sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\mu} - \mu})$ satisfying $p_2 < 2_s^* < p_1$, there is a constant C , depending on p_1 and p_2 , such that

$$\|w_n\|_{*,p_1,p_2,\Lambda_n} \leq C.$$

To prove Proposition 2.2, we should show the following three lemmas.

Lemma 2.3. Let w be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s w - \frac{\mu w}{|x|^{2s}} = a(x)v, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ w = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $a(x) \geq 0$ and $v \geq 0$ are functions satisfying $a, v \in C^{2s}(\Omega \setminus B_\delta(0))$ for any $\delta > 0$. Then for any $\frac{N}{N-2s} < p_2 < 2_s^* < p_1$ and $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu}$ satisfying $p_1 < \frac{2_s^* \sqrt{\bar{\mu}}}{\sqrt{\bar{\mu}} - \sqrt{\mu} - \mu}$, there is a constant $C = C(p_1, p_2)$ such that for any $\Lambda \geq 1$,

$$\|w\|_{*,p_1,p_2,\Lambda} \leq C \|a\|_{\frac{N}{2s}} \|v\|_{p_1,p_2,\Lambda}.$$

Proof. For any small $\theta > 0$, let $v_1, v_2 \in C^{2s}(\Omega \setminus B_\delta(0))$ and $v_1, v_2 \geq 0$ be the functions such that $v \leq v_1 + v_2$ and (2.1) holds with $\alpha = \|v\|_{p_1,p_2,\Lambda} + \theta$. Consider

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s w_i - \frac{\mu w_i}{|x|^{2s}} = a(x)v_i, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ w_i = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

It follows from Lemma A.2 that

$$(2.4) \quad \|w_i\|_{*,p_i} \leq C \|a\|_{\frac{N}{2s}} \|v_i\|_{p_i}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

On the other hand, by the maximum principle, we deduce $w \leq w_1 + w_2$. So the result follows. $\square$

Remark 2.4. We will let $a(x) = |u_0|^{\frac{4s}{N-2s}}$ or $a(x) = |\rho_{0,\Lambda_n,j}|^{\frac{4s}{N-2s}}, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, in Lemma 2.3 to obtain some desired estimates for w_n . Here $a(x)$ may have singularity at $\{x = 0\}$. So, in Lemma 2.3 we only assume that $a(x)$ and $v(x)$ belong to $C^{2s}(\Omega \setminus B_\delta(0))$.

Lemma 2.5. Let $w \geq 0$ be a weak solution of

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s w - \frac{\mu w}{|x|^{2s}} = 2v^{2_s^*-1} + A, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ w = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

For any $p_1, p_2 \in (\frac{N+2s}{N-2s}, \frac{N}{2s} \frac{N+2s}{N-2s})$ with $p_2 < 2_s^* < p_1$, let q_i be given by

$$\frac{1}{q_i} = \frac{N+2s}{(N-2s)p_i} - \frac{2s}{N}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

If $q_1, q_2 < \frac{2_s^* \sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\mu} - \mu}$, then there is a constant $C = C(p_1, p_2)$ such that for any $\Lambda \geq 1$,

$$\|w\|_{*,q_1,q_2,\Lambda} \leq C \|v\|_{p_1,p_2,\Lambda}^{2_s^*-1} + C.$$

Proof. For any small $\theta > 0$, let $v_1 \geq 0$ and $v_2 \geq 0$ be the functions such that $v \leq v_1 + v_2$, and (2.1) holds with $\alpha = \|v\|_{p_1, p_2, \Lambda} + \theta$.

Consider

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s w_1 - \frac{\mu w_1}{|x|^{2s}} = C v_1^{2_s^*-1} + A, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ w_1 = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s w_2 - \frac{\mu w_2}{|x|^{2s}} = C v_2^{2_s^*-1}, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ w_2 = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $C > 0$ is a large constant. Then by the maximum principle, $w \leq w_1 + w_2$.

Let $\hat{p}_i = p_i \frac{N-2s}{N+2s} \in (1, \frac{N}{2s})$, then $q_i = \frac{N\hat{p}_i}{N-2s\hat{p}_i}$, $i = 1, 2$. By Lemma A.3, we have

$$\|w_1\|_{*, q_1} \leq C \|v_1^{2_s^*-1} + A\|_{\hat{p}_1} \leq C (\|v_1\|_{p_1}^{2_s^*-1} + 1) \leq C [(\|v\|_{p_1, p_2, \Lambda} + \theta)^{2_s^*-1} + 1],$$

and

$$\|w_2\|_{*, q_2} \leq C \|v_2\|_{p_2}^{2_s^*-1} \leq C [(\|v\|_{p_1, p_2, \Lambda} + \theta) \Lambda^{\frac{N}{2_s^*} - \frac{N}{p_2}}]^{2_s^*-1} = C (\|v\|_{p_1, p_2, \Lambda} + \theta)^{2_s^*-1} \Lambda^{\frac{N}{2_s^*} - \frac{N}{q_2}},$$

since

$$\left(\frac{N}{2_s^*} - \frac{N}{p_2}\right) \frac{N+2s}{N-2s} = \frac{N}{2_s^*} - \frac{N}{q_2}.$$

As a consequence, the result follows. $\square$

Lemma 2.6. *Let $w_n(x) = |u_n(x)|$ in Ω ; $w_n(x) = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega$. Then there are constants $C > 0$ and $p_1, p_2 \in (\frac{2_s^*}{2}, +\infty)$ with $p_2 < 2_s^* < p_1$ such that*

$$\|w_n\|_{*, p_1, p_2, \Lambda_n} \leq C.$$

Proof. From Proposition C.1, we have $u_n = u_0 + u_{n,1} + u_{n,2}$, where

$$u_{n,1} = \sum_{j=1}^m \rho_{0, \Lambda_{n,j}}(U_j) + \sum_{j=m+1}^h \rho_{x_{n,j}, \Lambda_{n,j}}(U_j),$$

and $u_{n,2} = \omega_n$.

Let $a_i = C |u_{n,i}|^{\frac{4s}{N-2s}}$, $i = 0, 1, 2$, where $C > 0$ is a large constant. Then, we have

$$(-\Delta)^s w_n - \frac{\mu w_n}{|x|^{2s}} \leq (a_0 + a_1 + a_2) w_n + A.$$

Let $w = G(v)$ be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s w - \frac{\mu w}{|x|^{2s}} = v, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ w = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Then, we have

$$w_n \leq G(a_0 w_n + A) + G(a_1 w_n) + G(a_2 w_n).$$

We first deal with the term $G(a_0 w_n + A)$. Let $q > \frac{2N}{N+2s}$ such that $q - \frac{2N}{N+2s}$ is so small that $p_1 := \frac{Nq}{N-2sq} \in \left(2_s^*, \frac{2_s^* \sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\mu} - \mu}\right)$. Then it follows from Lemma A.3 that

$$(2.5) \quad \|G(a_0 w_n + A)\|_{*, p_1} \leq C \|a_0 w_n + A\|_q \leq C + C \|a_0\|_{\frac{2_s^* q}{2_s^* - q}} \|w_n\|_{2_s^*} \leq C + C \|a_0\|_{\frac{2_s^* q}{2_s^* - q}}.$$

By Proposition B.3, $|u_0(x)| \leq C|x|^{-(\frac{N-2s}{2}-\beta)}, \forall x \in \Omega$. So we see that if $q - \frac{2N}{N+2s} > 0$ is small enough, then

$$\left(\frac{N-2s}{2} - \beta\right) \frac{4s}{N-2s} \frac{2_s^* q}{2_s^* - q} < N.$$

As a result,

$$\int_{\Omega} |a_0|^{\frac{2_s^* q}{2_s^* - q}} dx \leq C \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-(\frac{N-2s}{2}-\beta) \frac{4s}{N-2s} \frac{2_s^* q}{2_s^* - q}} dx \leq C.$$

Hence, we have proved that there is a $p_1 > 2_s^*$ such that

$$(2.6) \quad \|G(a_0 w_n + A)\|_{*,p_1} \leq C.$$

Next we treat the term $G(a_1 w_n)$. Let $p_2 \in (\frac{N}{N-2s}, 2_s^*)$ be a constant. By Lemma A.4, we get

$$\|G(a_1 w_n)\|_{*,p_2} \leq C \|a_1\|_r \|w_n\|_{2_s^*} \leq C \|a_1\|_r,$$

where r is determined by $\frac{1}{p_2} = \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{2_s^*} - \frac{2s}{N}$.

But

$$\int_{\Omega} |\rho_{x_{n,j}, \Lambda_{n,j}}(U_j)|^{\frac{4sr}{N-2s}} dx = \Lambda_{n,j}^{2sr-N} \int_{\Omega_{x_{n,j}, \Lambda_{n,j}}} |U_j|^{\frac{4sr}{N-2s}} dx,$$

where $\Omega_{x,\Lambda} = \{\bar{x} : x_{n,j} + \Lambda^{-1}\bar{x} \in \Omega\}$.

For $j = m+1, \dots, h$, there is a $C > 0$ such that (see [18])

$$|U_j(x)| \leq \frac{C}{1 + |x|^{N-2s}}.$$

Therefore, for any $r \in (\frac{N}{4s}, \frac{N}{2s})$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega_{x_{n,j}, \Lambda_{n,j}}} |U_j|^{\frac{4sr}{N-2s}} dx \leq C, \quad j = m+1, \dots, h.$$

For $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, by Lemma A.1 we have

$$U_j \in L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}^N), \forall p < \frac{2_s^* \sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\mu} - \mu},$$

and by Lemma B.1,

$$|U_j(x)| \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{\frac{N-2s}{2} + \beta}}, \quad \forall |x| \geq 1.$$

Note that $r \rightarrow \frac{N}{2s}$ as $p_2 \rightarrow 2_s^*$. Now we choose p_2 close to 2_s^* so that

$$\frac{4sr}{N-2s} \left(\frac{N-2s}{2} + \beta\right) > N,$$

and

$$\frac{4sr}{N-2s} < \frac{2_s^* \sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\mu} - \mu}.$$

As a result,

$$\int_{\Omega_{x_{n,j}, \Lambda_{n,j}}} |U_j|^{\frac{4sr}{N-2s}} dx \leq C, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Thus we have proved that there is a $p_2 < 2_s^*$ close to 2_s^* such that

$$(2.7) \quad \|G(a_1 w_n)\|_{*,p_2} \leq C \Lambda_n^{2s - \frac{N}{r}} = C \Lambda_n^{\frac{N}{2_s^*} - \frac{N}{p_2}}.$$

Finally, we treat the term $G(a_2 w_n)$. It follows from Lemma 2.3 that

$$(2.8) \quad \|G(a_2 w_n)\|_{*,p_1,p_2,\Lambda_n} \leq C \|a_2\|_{\frac{N}{2s}} \|w_n\|_{p_1,p_2,\Lambda_n} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|w_n\|_{*,p_1,p_2,\Lambda_n},$$

since $\|a_2\|_{\frac{N}{2s}} = \|\omega_n\|_{2_s^*}^{\frac{4s}{N-2s}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

From (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|w_n\|_{*,p_1,p_2,\Lambda_n} \\
& \leq \|G(a_0w_n + A)\|_{*,p_1,p_2,\Lambda_n} + \|G(a_1w_n)\|_{*,p_1,p_2,\Lambda_n} + \|G(a_2w_n)\|_{*,p_1,p_2,\Lambda_n} \\
& \leq \|G(a_0w_n + A)\|_{*,p_1} + \|G(a_1w_n)\|_{*,p_2} \Lambda_n^{\frac{N}{p_2} - \frac{N}{2s}} + \|G(a_2w_n)\|_{*,p_1,p_2,\Lambda_n} \\
& \leq C + \frac{1}{2} \|w_n\|_{*,p_1,p_2,\Lambda_n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the result follows. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 2.2. Since w_n satisfies (2.3), we can use Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6 to prove that

$$\|w_n\|_{*,p_1,p_2,\Lambda_n} \leq C$$

holds for any p_1, p_2 with $p_1, p_2 \in (\frac{2s^*}{2}, \frac{2s^*\sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu}-\sqrt{\mu}-\mu})$ satisfying $p_2 < 2s^* < p_1$. $\square$

3. ESTIMATES ON SAFE REGIONS

Since the number of the bubbles of u_n is finite, by Proposition C.1 we can always find a constant $\bar{C} > 0$, independent of n , such that the region

$$\left(B_{(\bar{C}+5)\Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}}(x_n) \setminus B_{\bar{C}\Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}}(x_n) \right) \cap \Omega,$$

does not contain any concentration point of u_n for any n . We call this region a safe region for u_n .

For $d = N, N+1$, let

$$\mathcal{A}_{n,1}^d := \left(B_{(\bar{C}+5)\Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}}(x_n) \setminus B_{(\bar{C}+1)\Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}}(x_n) \right) \cap \Omega \text{ (or } \mathcal{D}),$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_{n,2}^d := \left(B_{(\bar{C}+4)\Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}}(x_n) \setminus B_{(\bar{C}+1)\Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}}(x_n) \right) \cap \Omega \text{ (or } \mathcal{D}).$$

For a measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}$, we define a weighted measure

$$m_s(E) := \int_E t^{1-2s} dx dt,$$

and

$$\oint_E t^{1-2s} f(x, t) dx dt := \frac{\int_E t^{1-2s} f(x, t) dx dt}{m_s(E)}.$$

Firstly, we introduce the following two known results given in [22] and [18] respectively.

Lemma 3.1. (Theorem 1.3, [22]) Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an open bounded set in $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$. Then there exists a constant $C(N, s, \mathcal{F}) > 0$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad \left(\int_{\mathcal{F}} t^{1-2s} |\bar{u}(x, t)|^{\frac{2(N+1)}{N}} dx dt \right)^{\frac{N}{2(N+1)}} \leq C \left(\int_{\mathcal{F}} t^{1-2s} |\nabla \bar{u}(x, t)|^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Lemma 3.2. (Lemma 5.2, [18]) For $f \geq 0$, assume that $\bar{u} \in H_0^1(t^{1-2s}, \mathcal{D})$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(t^{1-2s} \nabla \bar{u}) = 0, & \text{in } \mathcal{D}, \\ \mathcal{A}_s(\bar{u}) = f, & \text{on } \Omega \times \{0\}, \\ \bar{u} = 0, & \text{on } \partial_L \mathcal{D}. \end{cases}$$

Then, for $\gamma \in (1, \frac{2N+2}{2N+1})$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\left(\oint_{B_r^{N+1}(x)} t^{1-2s} |\bar{u}|^\gamma dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \leq C \oint_{B_1^{N+1}(x)} t^{1-2s} |\bar{u}|^\gamma dx dt + C \int_r^1 \left(\frac{1}{\rho^{N-2s}} \int_{B_\rho^N(x)} f(y) dy \right) \frac{d\rho}{\rho}$$

holds for any $x \in \Omega$ and $r \in (0, r_0)$, where $r_0 = \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$.

Now we come to our main result in this section.

Proposition 3.3. Let w_n be a weak solution of (2.3). Then there is a constant $C > 0$ independent of n , such that

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}_{n,2}^N} |w_n|^p dx \leq C \Lambda_n^{\frac{Np}{2p_1} - \frac{N}{2}},$$

and

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}_{n,2}^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} |w_n|^p dx dt \leq C \Lambda_n^{\frac{Np}{2p_1} - \frac{N+2-2s}{2}},$$

where $p_1 > 2_s^*$ and $p \geq 2$ are any constants, satisfying

$$p, p_1 < \frac{2_s^* \sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\mu - \mu}}.$$

In order to prove Proposition 3.3, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4. Suppose that w_n satisfies (2.3) with $\epsilon = \epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$. Then there is a constant $C > 0$ independent of n , such that

$$\sup_n \int_{B_r^{N+1}(y)} t^{1-2s} |\bar{w}_n|^\gamma dx dt \leq C \Lambda_n^{\frac{N\gamma}{2p_1}}, \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

for all $r \in [\bar{C} \Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (\bar{C} + 5) \Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}]$, where $\gamma \in (1, \frac{2N+2}{2N+1})$ and $p_1 > 2_s^*$ is any constant satisfying $p_1 < \frac{2_s^* \sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\mu - \mu}}$.

Proof. Firstly, using Hölder inequality and (3.1), we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_1^{N+1}(y)} t^{1-2s} |\bar{w}_n|^\gamma dx dt \\ & \leq \left(\int_{B_1^{N+1}(y)} t^{1-2s} |\bar{w}_n|^{\frac{2(N+1)}{N}} dx dt \right)^{\frac{N\gamma}{2(N+1)}} \left(\int_{B_1^{N+1}(y)} t^{1-2s} dx dt \right)^{1 - \frac{N\gamma}{2(N+1)}} \\ & \leq C \left(\int_{B_1^{N+1}(y)} t^{1-2s} |\nabla \bar{w}_n(x, t)|^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

So it follows from (2.3) and Lemma 3.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{B_r^{N+1}(y)} t^{1-2s} |\bar{w}_n|^\gamma dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \\ (3.2) \quad & \leq C + C \int_r^1 \frac{1}{\rho^{N-2s}} \int_{B_\rho^N(y)} \left(\frac{\mu}{|x|^{2s}} w_n + 2w_n^{2_s^*-1} + A \right) dx \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \\ & \leq C + C \int_r^1 \frac{1}{\rho^{N-2s+1}} \int_{B_\rho^N(y)} \frac{\mu w_n}{|x|^{2s}} dx d\rho + C \int_r^1 \frac{1}{\rho^{N-2s+1}} \int_{B_\rho^N(y)} w_n^{2_s^*-1} dx d\rho. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.2, we know that $\|w_n\|_{*, p_1, p_2, \Lambda_n} \leq C$ for any $p_1, p_2 \in (\frac{2_s^*}{2}, \frac{2_s^* \sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\mu - \mu}})$ satisfying $p_2 < 2_s^* < p_1$.

Let p_1 be a constant satisfying $2_s^* < p_1 < \frac{2_s^* \sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\mu - \mu}}$ and $p_2 = \frac{2_s^*}{2} + \theta$, where $\theta > 0$ is a small constant. Then we can choose $v_{1,n}$ and $v_{2,n}$ such that $w_n \leq v_{1,n} + v_{2,n}$, $\|v_{1,n}\|_{*, p_1} \leq C$ and

$\|v_{2,n}\|_{*,p_2} \leq C\Lambda_n^{\frac{N}{2_s^*}-\frac{N}{p_2}}$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_r^1 \frac{1}{\rho^{N-2s+1}} \int_{B_\rho^N(y)} \frac{\mu v_{1,n}}{|x|^{2s}} dx d\rho \\ & \leq \int_r^1 \frac{1}{\rho^{N-2s+1}} \left(\int_{B_\rho^N(y)} \mu \frac{|v_{1,n}|^{\frac{2p_1}{2_s^*}}}{|x|^{2s}} dx \right)^{\frac{2_s^*}{2p_1}} \left(\int_{B_\rho^N(y)} \frac{\mu}{|x|^{2s}} dx \right)^{1-\frac{2_s^*}{2p_1}} \\ & \leq C \int_r^1 \rho^{2s-N-1+(N-2s)(1-\frac{2_s^*}{2p_1})} d\rho \leq Cr^{-\frac{(N-2s)2_s^*}{2p_1}} \leq C\Lambda_n^{\frac{(N-2s)2_s^*}{4p_1}} = C\Lambda_n^{\frac{N}{2p_1}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_r^1 \frac{1}{\rho^{N-2s+1}} \int_{B_\rho^N(y)} \frac{\mu v_{2,n}}{|x|^{2s}} dx d\rho \\ & \leq \int_r^1 \frac{1}{\rho^{N-2s+1}} \left(\int_{B_\rho^N(y)} \frac{\mu |v_{2,n}|^{\frac{2p_2}{2_s^*}}}{|x|^{2s}} dx \right)^{\frac{2_s^*}{2p_2}} \left(\int_{B_\rho^N(y)} \frac{\mu}{|x|^{2s}} dx \right)^{1-\frac{2_s^*}{2p_2}} \\ & \leq C\Lambda_n^{\frac{N}{2_s^*}-\frac{N}{p_2}} \int_r^1 \rho^{2s-N-1+(N-2s)(1-\frac{2_s^*}{2p_2})} d\rho \leq C\Lambda_n^{\frac{N}{2_s^*}-\frac{N}{2p_2}} = C\Lambda_n^{\theta_1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta_1 > 0$ is a small constant if we choose $\theta > 0$ small enough.

Thus, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_r^1 \frac{1}{\rho^{N-2s+1}} \int_{B_\rho^N(y)} \frac{\mu w_n}{|x|^{2s}} dx d\rho \\ (3.3) \quad & \leq \int_r^1 \frac{1}{\rho^{N-2s+1}} \int_{B_\rho^N(y)} \frac{\mu v_{1,n}}{|x|^{2s}} dx d\rho + \int_r^1 \frac{1}{\rho^{N-2s+1}} \int_{B_\rho^N(y)} \frac{\mu v_{2,n}}{|x|^{2s}} dx d\rho \\ & \leq C\Lambda_n^{\frac{N}{2p_1}} + C\Lambda_n^{\theta_1} \leq C\Lambda_n^{\frac{N}{2p_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\bar{p}_2 = 2_s^* - 1 = \frac{N+2s}{N-2s}$ and let $p_1 > 2_s^*$ with $p_1 < \frac{2_s^* \sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\mu} - \mu}$. Then we can choose $\bar{v}_{1,n}$ and $\bar{v}_{2,n}$ such that $|w_n| \leq \bar{v}_{1,n} + \bar{v}_{2,n}$ and $\|\bar{v}_{1,n}\|_{*,p_1} \leq C$ and $\|\bar{v}_{2,n}\|_{*,\bar{p}_2} \leq C\Lambda_n^{\frac{N}{2_s^*}-\frac{N}{\bar{p}_2}}$. Since $p_1 > 2_s^*$, we know that $\frac{N(N+2s)}{2p_1(N-2s)} - s < \frac{N}{2p_1}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_r^1 \frac{1}{\rho^{N-2s+1}} \int_{B_\rho^N(y)} \bar{v}_{1,n}^{2_s^*-1} dx d\rho & \leq \int_r^1 \frac{1}{\rho^{N-2s+1}} \left(\int_{B_\rho^N(y)} |\bar{v}_{1,n}|^{p_1} dx \right)^{\frac{N+2s}{(N-2s)p_1}} \rho^{N(1-\frac{N+2s}{p_1(N-2s)})} d\rho \\ & \leq C \int_r^1 \rho^{2s-1-\frac{N(N+2s)}{p_1(N-2s)}} d\rho \leq \Lambda_n^{\frac{N(N+2s)}{2p_1(N-2s)}-s} \leq C\Lambda_n^{\frac{N}{2p_1}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_r^1 \frac{1}{\rho^{N-2s+1}} \int_{B_\rho^N(y)} \bar{v}_{2,n}^{2_s^*-1} dx d\rho & \leq \int_r^1 \frac{1}{\rho^{N-2s+1}} (\Lambda_n^{\frac{N}{2_s^*}-\frac{N}{\bar{p}_2}})^{\frac{N+2s}{N-2s}} d\rho \\ & \leq C\Lambda_n^{\frac{2s-N}{2}} \int_r^1 \frac{1}{\rho^{N-2s+1}} d\rho \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.4) \quad & \int_r^1 \frac{1}{\rho^{N-2s+1}} \int_{B_\rho^N(y)} w_n^{2_s^*-1} dx d\rho \\
 & \leq C \int_r^1 \frac{1}{\rho^{N-2s+1}} \int_{B_\rho^N(y)} \bar{v}_{1,n}^{2_s^*-1} dx d\rho + C \int_r^1 \frac{1}{\rho^{N-2s+1}} \int_{B_\rho^N(y)} \bar{v}_{2,n}^{2_s^*-1} dx d\rho \\
 & \leq C \Lambda_n^{\frac{N}{2p_1}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (3.4), (3.2) and (3.3), we have

$$\left(\int_{B_{r^{N+1}}(y)} t^{1-2s} |\bar{w}_n|^\gamma dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \leq C \Lambda_n^{\frac{N}{2p_1}}$$

for any $r \in [\bar{C} \Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (\bar{C} + 5) \Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}]$. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 3.3. It follows from Lemma 3.4 that for any $y \in \mathcal{A}_{n,2}^N$ and $r \in [\bar{C} \Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (\bar{C} + 5) \Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}]$, we get that if $\gamma \in (1, \frac{2N+2}{2N+1})$,

$$(3.5) \quad \int_{B_{r^{N+1}}(y)} t^{1-2s} |\bar{w}_n|^\gamma dx dt \leq C \Lambda_n^{\frac{N\gamma}{2p_1}} \int_{B_r^{N+1}(y)} t^{1-2s} dx dt \leq C \Lambda_n^{\frac{N\gamma}{2p_1}} \Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}(N+2-2s)}.$$

Let $\bar{v}_n(z) = \bar{w}_n(\Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} z)$, $z \in \mathcal{D}_n$, where $\mathcal{D}_n = \{z : \Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} z \in \mathcal{D}\}$.

Then $\bar{v}_n$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(t^{1-2s} \bar{v}_n) = 0, & \text{in } \mathcal{D}_n, \\ \mathcal{A}_s \bar{v}_n(x, 0) \leq \frac{\mu v_n}{|x|^{2s}} + \Lambda_n^{-s} (|v_n|^{2_s^*-2} + a) v_n, & \text{on } \Omega_n, \end{cases}$$

where $\Omega_n = \{x : \Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} x \in \Omega\}$.

Let $\bar{x} = \Lambda_n^{\frac{1}{2}} y$. Since $B_{\Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}}(y)$, $y \in \mathcal{A}_{n,2}^N$ does not contain any concentration point of u_n , we can deduce that

$$\int_{B_1^N(\bar{x})} |\Lambda_n^{-s} (|v_n|^{2_s^*-2} + a)|^{\frac{N}{2s}} dx \leq C \int_{B_{\Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}}(y)} |u_n|^{2_s^*} dx + C \Lambda_n^{-\frac{N}{2}} \rightarrow 0,$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus by Lemma A.5 and (3.5), we obtain

$$\|v_n\|_{L^p(B_{\frac{1}{2}}^N(\bar{x}))} \leq C \left(\int_{B_1^{N+1}(\bar{x})} t^{1-2s} |\bar{v}_n|^\gamma dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \leq C \left(\Lambda_n^{\frac{N+2-2s}{2}} \int_{B_{\Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}}(y)} t^{1-2s} |\bar{w}_n|^\gamma dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \leq C \Lambda_n^{\frac{N}{2p_1}},$$

and

$$\left(\int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}^{N+1}(\bar{x})} t^{1-2s} |\bar{v}_n|^p dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \left(\int_{B_1^{N+1}(\bar{x})} t^{1-2s} |\bar{v}_n|^\gamma dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \leq C \Lambda_n^{\frac{N}{2p_1}},$$

for any $p > \max\{2_s^*, 2^\sharp\}$ with $p < \min\{\frac{2_s^* \sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\mu} - \mu}, \frac{2^\sharp \sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\mu} - \mu}\}$ and $2^\sharp = \frac{2(N+1)}{N}$.

As a result,

$$\Lambda_n^{\frac{N}{2}} \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2} \Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}}(y)} |w_n|^p dx \leq C \Lambda_n^{\frac{pN}{2p_1}}, \quad \forall y \in \mathcal{A}_{n,2}^N,$$

and

$$\Lambda_n^{\frac{N+2-2s}{2}} \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2} \Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}}(y)} t^{1-2s} |\bar{w}_n|^p dx dt \leq C \Lambda_n^{\frac{pN}{2p_1}}, \quad \forall y \in \mathcal{A}_{n,2}^N.$$

Hence, for any $p > \max\{2_s^*, 2^\sharp\}$ with $p < \min\{\frac{2_s^* \sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\mu - \mu}}, \frac{2^\sharp \sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\mu - \mu}}\}$, there holds

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}_{n,2}^N} |w_n|^p dx \leq C \Lambda_n^{\frac{Np}{2p_1} - \frac{N}{2}},$$

and

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}_{n,2}^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} |\bar{w}_n|^p dx dt \leq C \Lambda_n^{\frac{Np}{2p_1} - \frac{N+2-2s}{2}}.$$

On the other hand, for any $2 \leq p \leq \max\{2_s^*, 2^\sharp\}$, take $\bar{p} > \max\{2_s^*, 2^\sharp\}$ and $\bar{p} < \min\{\frac{2_s^* \sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\mu - \mu}}, \frac{2^\sharp \sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\mu - \mu}}\}$. Then

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}_{n,2}^N} |w_n|^p dx \leq C \left(\int_{\mathcal{A}_{n,2}^N} |w_n|^{\bar{p}} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{\bar{p}}} \Lambda_n^{-\frac{N}{2}(1-\frac{p}{\bar{p}})} \leq C \Lambda_n^{\frac{Np}{2p_1} - \frac{N}{2}},$$

and

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}_{n,2}^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} |\bar{w}_n|^p dx dt \leq C \left(\int_{\mathcal{A}_{n,2}^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} |\bar{w}_n|^{\bar{p}} dx dt \right)^{\frac{p}{\bar{p}}} \Lambda_n^{-\frac{N+2-2s}{2}(1-\frac{p}{\bar{p}})} \leq C \Lambda_n^{\frac{Np}{2p_1} - \frac{N+2-2s}{2}},$$

Hence, for any $p \geq 2$,

$$\left(\int_{\mathcal{A}_{n,2}^N} |w_n|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \Lambda_n^{\frac{N}{2p_1} - \frac{N}{2p}},$$

and

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}_{n,2}^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} |\bar{w}_n|^p dx dt \leq C \Lambda_n^{\frac{Np}{2p_1} - \frac{1}{2}(N+2-2s)}.$$

□

Let $\mathcal{A}_{n,3}^d = \left(B_{(\bar{C}+3)\Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}}(x_n) \setminus B_{(\bar{C}+2)\Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}}(x_n) \right) \cap \Omega \text{ (or } \mathcal{D}), d = N, N+1$.

Proposition 3.5. We have

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{\mathcal{A}_{n,3}^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} |\nabla \bar{u}_n(x, t)|^2 dx dt, \int_{\mathcal{A}_{n,3}^N} \frac{\mu |u_n|^2}{|x|^{2s}} dx \leq C \int_{\mathcal{A}_{n,2}^N} (|u_n|^{2_s^*} + 1) dx + C \Lambda_n \int_{\mathcal{A}_{n,2}^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} |\bar{u}_n(x, t)|^2 dx dt.$$

In particular,

$$(3.7) \quad \int_{\mathcal{A}_{n,3}^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} |\nabla \bar{u}_n(x, t)|^2 dx dt, \int_{\mathcal{A}_{n,3}^N} \frac{\mu |u_n|^2}{|x|^{2s}} dx \leq C \Lambda_n^{\frac{2s-N}{2} + \frac{N}{p_1}}.$$

Proof. Let $\bar{\phi}_n \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{A}_{n,2}^{N+1})$ be a function with $\bar{\phi}_n = 1$ in $\mathcal{A}_{n,3}^{N+1}$, $0 \leq \bar{\phi}_n \leq 1$ and $|\nabla \bar{\phi}_n| \leq C \Lambda_n^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

From

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} t^{1-2s} \nabla \bar{u}_n \nabla (\bar{\phi}_n^2 \bar{u}_n) dx dt - \int_{\Omega} \frac{\mu \phi_n^2 u_n^2}{|x|^{2s}} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} (2|u_n|^{2_s^*-1} + A) \phi_n^2 |u_n| dx,$$

we can prove (3.6). Since $p_1 > 2_s^*$, we see

$$\frac{2_s^* N}{2p_1} - \frac{N}{2} < \frac{N}{p_1} - \frac{N-2s}{2}.$$

Thus from (3.6) and Proposition 3.3, we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}_{n,3}^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} |\nabla \bar{u}_n(x, t)|^2 dx dt, \int_{\mathcal{A}_{n,3}^N} \frac{\mu |u_n|^2}{|x|^{2s}} dx \leq C \Lambda_n^{\frac{2_s^* N}{2p_1} - \frac{N}{2}} + C \Lambda_n^{\frac{N}{p_1} - \frac{N-2s}{2}} \leq \tilde{C} \Lambda_n^{\frac{2s-N}{2} + \frac{N}{p_1}}.$$

□

4. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

Choose an $\ell_n \in [\bar{C} + 2, \bar{C} + 3]$ such that

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial B_{\ell_n \Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}}(x_n)} \left(\Lambda_n^{-1} |u_n|^{2s^* - \epsilon_n} + |u_n|^2 + \Lambda_n^{-1} \frac{\mu u_n^2}{|x|^{2s}} \right) dS_x \\ & \leq C \Lambda_n^{\frac{2s-1}{2}} \int_{\mathcal{A}_{n,3}^N} \left(\Lambda_n^{-1} |u_n|^{2s^* - \epsilon_n} + |u_n|^2 + \Lambda_n^{-1} \frac{\mu u_n^2}{|x|^{2s}} \right) dx, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad \int_{\partial B_{\ell_n \Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}}(x_n)} \Lambda_n^{-1} t^{1-2s} (|\nabla \bar{u}_n|^2 + |\bar{u}_n|^2) dS_z \leq C \Lambda_n^{\frac{2s-1}{2}} \int_{\mathcal{A}_{n,3}^{N+1}} \Lambda_n^{-1} t^{1-2s} (|\nabla \bar{u}_n|^2 + |\bar{u}_n|^2) dx dt.$$

Applying Proposition 3.3, (4.1), (4.2) and (3.7), we get

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial B_{\ell_n \Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}}(x_n)} \left(\Lambda_n^{-1} |u_n|^{2s^* - \epsilon_n} + |u_n|^2 + \Lambda_n^{-1} \frac{\mu u_n^2}{|x|^{2s}} \right) dS_x \\ & + \int_{\partial B_{\ell_n \Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}}(x_n)} \Lambda_n^{-1} t^{1-2s} (|\nabla \bar{u}_n|^2 + |\bar{u}_n|^2) dS_z \\ & \leq C \Lambda_n^{\frac{2s-1}{2}} \left(C \Lambda_n^{-1} \Lambda_n^{\frac{N(2s^* - \epsilon_n)}{2p_1} - \frac{N}{2}} + C \Lambda_n^{\frac{N}{p_1} - \frac{N}{2}} + C \Lambda_n^{-1} \Lambda_n^{\frac{N}{p_1} + \frac{2s-N}{2}} \right) \\ & \leq C \Lambda_n^{\frac{2s-1-N}{2} + \frac{N}{p_1}}, \end{aligned}$$

since $-1 + \frac{N(2s^* - \epsilon_n)}{2p_1} - \frac{N}{2} < -\frac{N}{2} + \frac{N}{p_1}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We have three different cases: (i) $B_{\ell_n \Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}}(x_n) \cap (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega) \neq \emptyset$; (ii) $B_{\ell_n \Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}}(x_n) \subset \Omega$ and $0 \notin \overline{B_{\ell_n \Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}}(x_n)}$; (iii) $B_{\ell_n \Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}}(x_n) \subset \Omega$ and $0 \in \overline{B_{\ell_n \Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}}(x_n)}$.

Firstly, define $\partial_+ \mathcal{F} = \{z = (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} : (x, t) \in \partial \mathcal{F}, t > 0\}$ and $\partial_b \mathcal{F} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : (x, 0) \in \partial \mathcal{F} \cap \mathbb{R}^N \times \{0\}\}$. Let $B_n^N = B_{\ell_n \Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}}(x_n) \cap \Omega$, $B_n^{N+1} = B_{\ell_n \Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}}(x_n) \cap \mathcal{D}$, and $p_n = 2s^* - \epsilon_n$. Then from Proposition C.1, we have the following local Pohozaev identity for u_n on B_n^{N+1} ,

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{N}{p_n} - \frac{N-2s}{2} \right) \int_{B_n^N} |u_n|^{p_n} dx + sa \int_{B_n^N} |u_n|^2 dx + s\mu \int_{B_n^N} \frac{x \cdot x_0 |u_n|^2}{|x|^{2s+2}} dx \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial B_n^N} \left(a + \frac{\mu}{|x|^{2s}} \right) |u_n|^2 (x - x_0) \cdot \nu_x dS_x + \frac{1}{p_n} \int_{\partial B_n^N} |u_n|^{p_n} (x - x_0) \cdot \nu_x dS_x \\ & + \int_{\partial_+ B_n^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} \left((z - z_0, \nabla \bar{u}_n) \nabla \bar{u}_n - (z - z_0) \frac{|\nabla \bar{u}_n|^2}{2}, \nu_z \right) dS_z \\ & + \frac{N-2s}{2} \int_{\partial_+ B_n^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} \bar{u}_n \frac{\partial \bar{u}_n}{\partial \nu_z} dS_z, \end{aligned}$$

where $z_0 = (x_0, 0)$, $z = (x, t)$ and x_0 in (4.4) is chosen as follows. In case (i), we take $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega$ with $|x_0 - x_n| \leq 2\ell_n \Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\nu_x \cdot (x - x_0) \leq 0$ in $\partial \Omega \cap B_n^N$. Then we see from the fact $\nu_z = (\nu_x, 0)$ that $\nu_z \cdot (z - z_0) = \nu_x \cdot (x - x_0) \leq 0$ and with this x_0 , we can check that $x_0 \cdot x \geq 0$ in B_n^N . In case (ii), we take a point $x_0 = x_n$. Then $x_0 \cdot x \geq 0$ in B_n^N . In case (iii), we take $x_0 = 0$. Thus, in any case $x_0 \cdot x \geq 0$ in B_n^N .

In fact, in case (i) and case (ii), $u_n \in C^{2s}(B_n^N)$. So, (4.4) is the usual local Pohozaev identity. Now we prove that (4.4) holds as well in case (iii).

To see this, since $\int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u_n|^2 dx = \int_{\mathcal{D}} t^{1-2s} |\nabla \bar{u}_n|^2 dx dt \leq C$, we can choose $\theta_j \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow +\infty$ such that

$$(4.5) \quad \theta_j \int_{\partial_+ B_{\theta_j}^{N+1}(0)} t^{1-2s} |\nabla \bar{u}_n|^2 dS_z + \theta_j \int_{\partial B_{\theta_j}^N(0)} |u_n|^{p_n} dS_x + \theta_j \int_{\partial B_{\theta_j}^N(0)} \left(a + \frac{\mu}{|x|^{2s}} \right) |u_n|^2 dS_x \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $B_{n,\theta_j}^{N+1} = B_n^{N+1} \setminus \overline{B_{\theta_j}^{N+1}(0)}$. Then $u_n \in C^{2s}(\overline{B_{n,\theta_j}^N})$ and

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{N}{p_n} - \frac{N-2s}{2} \right) \int_{B_{n,\theta_j}^N} |u_n|^{p_n} dx + sa \int_{B_{n,\theta_j}^N} |u_n|^2 dx + s\mu \int_{B_{n,\theta_j}^N} \frac{x \cdot x_0 |u_n|^2}{|x|^{2s+2}} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial B_{n,\theta_j}^N} \left(a + \frac{\mu}{|x|^{2s}} \right) |u_n|^2 x \cdot \nu_x dS_x + \frac{1}{p_n} \int_{\partial B_{n,\theta_j}^N} |u_n|^{p_n} x \cdot \nu_x dS_x \\ & \quad + \int_{\partial_+ B_{n,\theta_j}^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} \left((z, \nabla \bar{u}_n) \nabla \bar{u}_n - z \frac{|\nabla \bar{u}_n|^2}{2}, \nu_z \right) dS_z \\ & \quad + \frac{N-2s}{2} \int_{\partial_+ B_{n,\theta_j}^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} \bar{u}_n \frac{\partial \bar{u}_n}{\partial \nu_z} dS_z. \end{aligned}$$

From (4.5) and Proposition B.3, we have

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\partial_+ B_{\theta_j}^{N+1}(0)} t^{1-2s} \bar{u}_n \frac{\partial \bar{u}_n}{\partial \nu_z} dS_z \right| &\leq \left(\int_{\partial_+ B_{\theta_j}^{N+1}(0)} t^{1-2s} |\nabla \bar{u}_n|^2 dS_z \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\partial_+ B_{\theta_j}^{N+1}(0)} t^{1-2s} |\bar{u}_n|^2 dS_z \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \theta_j^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta_j^{1+\beta} = o(1), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial B_{\theta_j}^N(0)} \left(a + \frac{\mu}{|x|^{2s}} \right) |u_n|^2 x \cdot \nu_x dS_x + \frac{1}{p_n} \int_{\partial B_{\theta_j}^N(0)} |u_n|^{p_n} x \cdot \nu_x dS_x \\ & \quad + \int_{\partial_+ B_{\theta_j}^{N+1}(0)} t^{1-2s} \left((z, \nabla \bar{u}_n) \nabla \bar{u}_n - z \frac{|\nabla \bar{u}_n|^2}{2}, \nu_z \right) dS_z \\ &= O\left(\theta_j \int_{\partial B_{\theta_j}^N(0)} |u_n|^{p_n} dS_x + \theta_j \int_{\partial B_{\theta_j}^N(0)} \left(a + \frac{\mu}{|x|^{2s}} \right) |u_n|^2 dS_x + \theta_j \int_{\partial_+ B_{\theta_j}^{N+1}(0)} t^{1-2s} |\nabla \bar{u}_n|^2 dS_z \right) = o(1). \end{aligned}$$

So, letting $j \rightarrow +\infty$ in (4.6), from (4.7) and (4.8), we can get (4.4).

Since $p_n < 2_s^*$, the first term in the left hand side of (4.4) is nonnegative and by the choice of x_0 , the third term in the left hand side of (4.4) is also nonnegative. Hence (4.4) can be rewritten as

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} sa \int_{B_n^N} |u_n|^2 dx &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial B_n^N} \left(a + \frac{\mu}{|x|^{2s}} \right) |u_n|^2 (x - x_0) \cdot \nu_x dS_x + \frac{1}{p_n} \int_{\partial B_n^N} |u_n|^{p_n} (x - x_0) \cdot \nu_x dS_x \\ & \quad + \int_{\partial_+ B_n^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} \left((z - z_0, \nabla \bar{u}_n) \nabla \bar{u}_n - (z - z_0) \frac{|\nabla \bar{u}_n|^2}{2}, \nu_z \right) dS_z \\ & \quad + \frac{N-2s}{2} \int_{\partial_+ B_n^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} \bar{u}_n \frac{\partial \bar{u}_n}{\partial \nu_z} dS_z. \end{aligned}$$

Now we decompose ∂B_n^N into $\partial B_n^N = \partial_i B_n^N \cup \partial_e B_n^N$, where $\partial_i B_n^N = \partial B_n^N \cap \Omega$ and $\partial_e B_n^N = \partial B_n^N \cap \partial\Omega$. Similarly, $\partial_+ B_n^{N+1} = \partial_i B_n^{N+1} \cup \partial_e B_n^{N+1}$, where $\partial_i B_n^{N+1} = \partial_+ B_n^{N+1} \cap \mathcal{D}$ and $\partial_e B_n^{N+1} = \partial_+ B_n^{N+1} \cap \partial\mathcal{D}$.

Observing that $u_n = 0$ on $\partial_e B_n^N$ and $\bar{u}_n = 0$ on $\partial_e B_n^{N+1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial_e B_n^N} \left(a + \frac{\mu}{|x|^{2s}} \right) |u_n|^2 (x - x_0) \cdot \nu_x dS_x + \frac{1}{p_n} \int_{\partial_e B_n^N} |u_n|^{p_n} (x - x_0) \cdot \nu_x dS_x \\ & + \frac{N-2s}{2} \int_{\partial_e B_n^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} \bar{u}_n \frac{\partial \bar{u}_n}{\partial \nu_z} dS_z = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Also, noting that $\nabla \bar{u}_n = \pm |\nabla \bar{u}_n| \nu_z$ on $\partial_e B_n^{N+1}$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial_e B_n^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} \left((z - z_0, \nabla \bar{u}_n) \nabla \bar{u}_n - (z - z_0) \frac{|\nabla \bar{u}_n|^2}{2}, \nu_z \right) dS_z \\ & = \int_{\partial_e B_n^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} \frac{|\nabla \bar{u}_n|^2}{2} (z - z_0, \nu_z) dS_z \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can rewrite (4.9) as

$$\begin{aligned} (4.10) \quad sa \int_{B_n^N} |u_n|^2 dx & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial_i B_n^N} \left(a + \frac{\mu}{|x|^{2s}} \right) |u_n|^2 (x - x_0) \cdot \nu_x dS_x + \frac{1}{p_n} \int_{\partial_i B_n^N} |u_n|^{p_n} (x - x_0) \cdot \nu_x dS_x \\ & + \int_{\partial_i B_n^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} \left((z - z_0, \nabla \bar{u}_n) \nabla \bar{u}_n - (z - z_0) \frac{|\nabla \bar{u}_n|^2}{2}, \nu_z \right) dS_z \\ & + \frac{N-2s}{2} \int_{\partial_i B_n^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} \bar{u}_n \frac{\partial \bar{u}_n}{\partial \nu_z} dS_z. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.3), noting that $|x - x_0| \leq C\Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $x \in \partial_i B_n^N$, and $|z - z_0| \leq C\Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $x \in \partial_i B_n^{N+1}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (4.11) \quad \text{RHS of (4.10)} & \leq C\Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\partial_i B_n^N} \left(u_n^2 + |u_n|^{p_n} + \mu \frac{u_n^2}{|x|^{2s}} \right) dS_x + C \int_{\partial_i B_n^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} |\nabla u_n| |u_n| dS_z \\ & + C\Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\partial_i B_n^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} |\nabla u_n|^2 dS_z \\ & \leq C\Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Lambda_n^{\frac{2s-1-N}{2} + \frac{N}{p_1}} + C\Lambda_n^{1 + \frac{2s-1-N}{2} + \frac{N}{p_1}} \\ & \leq C\Lambda_n^{\frac{2s-N}{2} + \frac{N}{p_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that in the proof of Lemma 2.6, we have the decomposition

$$u_n = u_0 + \sum_{j=1}^m \rho_{0, \Lambda_n, j}(U_j) + \sum_{j=m+1}^h \rho_{x_n, j, \Lambda_n, j}(U_j) + \omega_n =: u_0 + u_{n,1} + u_{n,2},$$

with $\|u_{n,2}\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. By Proposition B.3 and Lemma B.1, we can verify that if $N > 6s$,

$$(4.12) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_j|^2 dx < +\infty, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, h.$$

On the other hand, let $B_{n,*}^N = B_{L\Lambda_n^{-1}}^N(x_n)$, where $L > 0$ is so large that

$$\int_{B_L^N(0)} |U_j|^2 dx > 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, h.$$

Since $u_n = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega$, we have

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{B_n^N} |u_n|^2 dx &= \int_{B_n^N \setminus \ell_n \Lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x_n)} |u_n|^2 dx \geq \int_{B_{n,*}^N} |u_n|^2 dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{n,*}^N} |u_{n,1}|^2 dx - C \int_{B_{n,*}^N} |u_0|^2 dx - C \int_{B_{n,*}^N} |u_{n,2}|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$(4.14) \quad \int_{B_{n,*}^N} |u_0|^2 dx \leq \left(\int_{B_{n,*}^N} |u_0|^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} |B_{n,*}^N|^{1-\frac{2}{2^*}} \leq C \Lambda_n^{-2s} \|u_0\|_{L^{2^*}(B_{n,*}^N)}^2 = o(1) \Lambda_n^{-2s},$$

and

$$(4.15) \quad \int_{B_{n,*}^N} |u_{n,2}|^2 dx \leq C \left(\int_{B_{n,*}^N} |u_{n,2}|^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \Lambda_n^{-2s} = o(1) \Lambda_n^{-2s},$$

since $\|u_{n,2}\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

On the other hand, we may assume that $\rho_{x_{n,1}, \Lambda_{n,1}}(U_1)$ is the bubble with slowest concentration rate. Then

$$\int_{B_{n,*}^N} |u_{n,1}|^2 dx \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{n,*}^N} |\rho_{x_{n,1}, \Lambda_{n,1}}(U_1)|^2 dx + O\left(\sum_{j=2}^h \int_{B_{n,*}^N} |\rho_{x_{n,j}, \Lambda_{n,j}}(U_j)|^2 dx\right).$$

By direct calculations, we can obtain

$$\int_{B_{n,*}^N} |\rho_{x_{n,1}, \Lambda_{n,1}}(U_1)|^2 dx = \Lambda_{n,1}^{-2s} \int_{B_L^N(0)} |U_1|^2 dx \geq C' \Lambda_{n,1}^{-2s},$$

for some constant $C' > 0$. Similarly, we have

$$(4.16) \quad \int_{B_{n,*}^N} |\rho_{x_{n,j}, \Lambda_{n,j}}(U_j)|^2 dx = \Lambda_{n,j}^{-2s} \int_{(B_{n,*}^N)_{x_{n,j}, \Lambda_{n,j}}} |U_j|^2 dx,$$

where we use the notation $E_{x,\Lambda} = \{y : \Lambda^{-1}y + x \in E\}$ for any set E .

If $\frac{\Lambda_{n,j}}{\Lambda_{n,1}} \rightarrow +\infty$, then we obtain from (4.16)

$$\int_{B_{n,*}^N} |\rho_{x_{n,j}, \Lambda_{n,j}}(U_j)|^2 dx = o(\Lambda_{n,1}^{-2s}).$$

If $\frac{\Lambda_{n,j}}{\Lambda_{n,1}} \leq C < +\infty$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (B_{n,*}^N)_{x_{n,j}, \Lambda_{n,j}} &= \{y : \Lambda_{n,j}^{-1}y + x_{n,j} \in B_{n,*}^N\} \\ &= \{y : |\Lambda_{n,j}^{-1}y + x_{n,j} - x_{n,1}| \leq L\Lambda_{n,1}^{-1}\} \subset \{y : |y + \Lambda_{n,j}(x_{n,j} - x_{n,1})| \leq C\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\Lambda_{n,j}(x_{n,j} - x_{n,1})| \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, we find that $(B_{n,*}^N)_{x_{n,j}, \Lambda_{n,j}}$ moves to infinity. Hence it follows from (4.12) and (4.16) that

$$\int_{B_{n,*}^N} |\rho_{x_{n,j}, \Lambda_{n,j}}(U_j)|^2 dx = o(\Lambda_{n,1}^{-2s}).$$

Therefore, we have proved that there exists a constant $C' > 0$, such that

$$(4.17) \quad \int_{B_{n,*}^N} |u_{n,1}|^2 dx \geq C' \Lambda_n^{-2s}.$$

Hence, from (4.13) to (4.17), we get

$$(4.18) \quad \text{LHS of (4.10)} \geq \frac{C'}{4} \Lambda_n^{-2s}.$$

Combing (4.11) and (4.18), we obtain

$$(4.19) \quad \Lambda_n^{-2s} \leq C \Lambda_n^{\frac{2s-N}{2} + \frac{N}{p_1}},$$

where $p_1 > 2_s^*$ is any constant, satisfying $p_1 < \frac{2_s^* \sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\mu} - \mu}$. Choose $p_1 = \frac{2N}{N-6s}$ with $p_1 + \delta < \frac{2_s^* \sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\mu} - \mu}$, where $\delta > 0$ is a small constant. Then from the assumption on μ , we see $2s < \frac{N-2s}{2} - \frac{N}{p_1}$. So, we obtain a contradiction to (4.19). $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. This is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.2. See for example [15, 16, 18, 42]. $\square$

APPENDIX A. Some basic estimates on linear problems

In this section, we deduce some elementary estimates for solutions of linear elliptic problem involving Hardy potential. These estimates are of independent interest.

Lemma A.1. *Let $u \in H_0^s(\Omega)$ be a solution of (1.1). Then one has*

$$u \in L^p(\Omega), \quad \forall p < \frac{2_s^* \sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\mu} - \mu}.$$

Proof. Just by the same argument as that of Lemma 2.1 in [14], we can prove our result. So we omit it here. $\square$

Lemma A.2. *Let w be a solution of*

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s w - \frac{\mu w}{|x|^{2s}} = a(x)v, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ w = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $a(x) \geq 0, v \geq 0$ are functions and $a, v \in C^{2s}(\Omega \setminus B_\delta(0))$ for any $\delta > 0$ small. Then for any $p > \frac{N}{N-2s}$ and $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu}$ satisfying $p < \frac{2_s^* \sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\mu} - \mu}$, there is a constant $C = C(p)$ such that

$$\|w\|_{*,p} \leq C \|a\|_{\frac{N}{2s}} \|v\|_p.$$

Proof. Let $q = \frac{p}{2_s^*}$. Then $q > \frac{1}{2}$.

First we assume $p \geq 2_s^*$. In this case $q \geq 1$. Let $\bar{\varphi} = \bar{w} \bar{w}_L^{2(q-1)}$, where $\bar{w}_L = \min\{\bar{w}, L\}$. Then we have

$$\nabla \bar{\varphi} = 2(q-1) \bar{w}_L^{2(q-1)} \nabla \bar{w}_L + \bar{w}_L^{2(q-1)} \nabla \bar{w}.$$

Since $q > 1$, it is easy to see that $\nabla \bar{\varphi} \in L^2(t^{1-2s}, \mathcal{D})$. Thus $\bar{\varphi} \in H_0^1(t^{1-2s}, \mathcal{D})$. So, we have

$$(A.1) \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}} t^{1-2s} \nabla \bar{w} \nabla (\bar{w} \bar{w}_L^{2(q-1)}) dx dt = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\mu w^2 \bar{w}_L^{2(q-1)}}{|x|^{2s}} dx + \int_{\Omega} a(x) v w \bar{w}_L^{2(q-1)} dx$$

Letting $\bar{\eta} = \bar{w} \bar{w}_L^{q-1}$, from Hardy-Sobolev inequality we find

$$(A.2) \quad \int_{\Omega} \frac{\mu w^2 \bar{w}_L^{2(q-1)}}{|x|^{2s}} dx \leq \frac{\mu}{\bar{\mu}} \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} (w \bar{w}_L^{(q-1)})|^2 dx = \frac{\mu}{\bar{\mu}} \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \bar{\eta}|^2 dx.$$

Moreover, it follows from $|\nabla \bar{w}_L| \leq |\nabla \bar{w}|$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (A.3) \quad \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \eta|^2 dx &= \int_{\mathcal{D}} t^{1-2s} |\nabla \bar{\eta}|^2 dx dt = \int_{\mathcal{D}} t^{1-2s} (\bar{w}_L^{2(q-1)} |\nabla \bar{w}|^2 + (q^2 - 1) \bar{w}_L^{2(q-1)} |\nabla \bar{w}_L|^2) dx dt \\
 &\leq \int_{\mathcal{D}} t^{1-2s} \left[(q^2 - \frac{q^2(2q-2)}{2q-1}) \bar{w}_L^{2(q-1)} |\nabla \bar{w}|^2 + \frac{q^2(2q-2)}{2q-1} \bar{w}_L^{2(q-1)} |\nabla \bar{w}_L|^2 \right] dx dt \\
 &\leq \frac{q^2}{2q-1} \int_{\mathcal{D}} t^{1-2s} [\bar{w}_L^{2(q-1)} |\nabla \bar{w}|^2 + (2q-2) \bar{w}_L^{2(q-1)} |\nabla \bar{w}_L|^2] dx dt \\
 &= \frac{q^2}{2q-1} \int_{\mathcal{D}} t^{1-2s} \nabla \bar{w} \nabla (\bar{w} \bar{w}_L^{2(q-1)}) dx dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (A.1)-(A.3), we get

$$(A.4) \quad \left(\frac{2q-1}{q^2} - \frac{\mu}{\bar{\mu}} \right) \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \eta|^2 dx \leq \int_{\Omega} a(x) v \varphi dx.$$

Noting that $q < \frac{\sqrt{\bar{\mu}}}{\sqrt{\bar{\mu}} - \sqrt{\bar{\mu} - \mu}}$ implies $\frac{2q-1}{q^2} - \frac{\mu}{\bar{\mu}} \geq c_0 > 0$, we obtain from (A.4) that there is a $c' > 0$ such that

$$(A.5) \quad \int_{\Omega} a(x) v \varphi dx \geq c_0 \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \eta|^2 dx \geq c' \left(\int_{\Omega} |\eta|^{2^*_s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*_s}}.$$

On the other hand, by Hölder inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (A.6) \quad \int_{\Omega} a(x) v \varphi dx &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |a(x) \varphi|^{\frac{2^*_s q}{2^*_s q - 1}} dx \right)^{\frac{2^*_s q - 1}{2^*_s q}} \\
 &\leq \|v\|_p \|a\|_{\frac{N}{2s}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\varphi|^{\frac{2^*_s q}{2^*_s q - 1}} dx \right)^{\frac{2^*_s q - 1}{2^*_s q}} \\
 &\leq \|v\|_p \|a\|_{\frac{N}{2s}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\eta|^{2^*_s} dx \right)^{\frac{2^*_s q - 1}{2^*_s q}},
 \end{aligned}$$

since $\frac{q}{2q-1} \leq 1$.

Thus,

$$(A.7) \quad c_0 \left(\int_{\Omega} |\eta|^{2^*_s} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2^*_s q}} \leq \|v\|_p \|a\|_{\frac{N}{2s}}.$$

From (A.2), (A.4), (A.6) and (A.7), we obtain

$$(A.8) \quad \int_{\Omega} \frac{\mu}{|x|^{2s}} w^2 w_L^{2(q-1)} dx \leq C (\|v\|_p \|a\|_{\frac{N}{2s}})^{2q}.$$

Letting $L \rightarrow \infty$ in (A.7) and (A.8), we obtain the result.

Now we consider the case $q \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. In this case, $ww_L^{2(q-1)}$ may not be in $H_0^s(\Omega)$. Hence we have to deal with it differently.

By the comparison principle, we know that $w \geq 0$ in Ω . For any $\theta > 0$ being a small number, let $\bar{\eta} = (\bar{w} + \theta)^{2q-1} \bar{\xi}^2$, where $\bar{\xi} \geq 0$ is a function satisfying $\bar{\xi} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, \infty)$; $\bar{\xi} = 1$ in $\mathcal{D}_{\theta} := \Omega_{\theta} \times [0, 1) = \{x : x \in \Omega, d(x, \partial\Omega) \geq \theta^s\} \times [0, 1)$; $0 < \bar{\xi} < 1$ on $\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\theta} \times [0, 1)$; $\bar{\xi} = 0$ in $\Omega \times [1, \infty)$ and $|\nabla \bar{\xi}| \leq \frac{2}{\theta^s}$. Then $\bar{\eta} \in H_0^1(t^{1-2s}, \mathcal{D})$ and

$$\nabla \bar{\eta} = (\bar{w} + \theta)^{2q-1} \nabla \bar{\xi}^2 + (2q-1)(\bar{w} + \theta)^{2(q-1)} \bar{\xi}^2 \nabla \bar{w}.$$

Moreover, from the assumption on $\bar{\xi}$, ξ satisfies $\xi \geq 0$, $\xi = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, $\xi > 0$ in Ω and $\xi = 1$ in Ω_θ and $|\nabla \xi| \leq \frac{2}{\theta^s}$. So, we have

$$(A.9) \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}} t^{1-2s} \nabla \bar{w} \nabla \bar{\eta} dx dt = \int_{\Omega} \mu \frac{w(w+\theta)^{2q-1} \xi^2}{|x|^{2s}} dx + \int_{\Omega} a(x) v(w+\theta)^{2q-1} \xi^2 dx.$$

On the other hand,

$$(A.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{D}} t^{1-2s} \nabla \bar{w} \nabla \bar{\eta} dx dt \\ &= (2q-1) \int_{\mathcal{D}} t^{1-2s} \bar{\xi}^2 (\bar{w} + \theta)^{2(q-1)} |\nabla(\bar{w} + \theta)|^2 dx dt + \int_{\mathcal{D}} t^{1-2s} (\bar{w} + \theta)^{2q-1} \nabla(\bar{w} + \theta) \nabla \bar{\xi}^2 dx dt \\ &= \frac{2q-1}{q^2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} t^{1-2s} \bar{\xi}^2 |\nabla(\bar{w} + \theta)|^q dx dt + \int_{\mathcal{D}} t^{1-2s} (\bar{w} + \theta)^{2q-1} \nabla(\bar{w} + \theta) \nabla \bar{\xi}^2 dx dt \\ &= \frac{2q-1}{q^2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} t^{1-2s} |\nabla(\bar{\xi}(\bar{w} + \theta))^q|^2 dx dt - \frac{2(2q-1)}{q^2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} t^{1-2s} q(\bar{w} + \theta)^{2q-1} \bar{\xi} \nabla \bar{\xi} \nabla(\bar{w} + \theta) dx dt \\ &\quad - \frac{2q-1}{q^2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} t^{1-2s} (\bar{w} + \theta)^{2q} |\nabla \bar{\xi}|^2 dx dt + \int_{\mathcal{D}} t^{1-2s} (\bar{w} + \theta)^{2q-1} \nabla(\bar{w} + \theta) \nabla \bar{\xi}^2 dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

From $a, v \in C^{2s}(\Omega \setminus B_\delta(0))$ for any $\delta > 0$ small, it follows from [34] that $w \in C^\beta(\Omega \setminus B_\delta(0))$ for any $\beta \in [s, 1+2s)$ and

$$w(x) \leq Cd^s(x, \partial\Omega) \leq C\theta^s, |\nabla w| \leq C, \forall x \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_\theta.$$

As a consequence, (A.10) becomes

$$(A.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{D}} t^{1-2s} \nabla \bar{w} \nabla \bar{\eta} dx dt \\ &= \frac{2q-1}{q^2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} t^{1-2s} |\nabla(\bar{\xi}(\bar{w} + \theta))^q|^2 dx dt + O\left(\int_{(\Omega \setminus \Omega_\theta) \times [0,1]} t^{1-2s} (\theta^s)^{2q-1} dx dt\right) \\ &= \frac{2q-1}{q^2} \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}(\xi(w+\theta))^q|^2 dx dt + O((\theta^s)^{2q-1}). \end{aligned}$$

By (A.9) and (A.11), we get

$$(A.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{2q-1}{q^2} \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}(\xi(w+\theta))^q|^2 dx + O((\theta^s)^{2q-1}) - \int_{\Omega} \mu \frac{w(w+\theta)^{2q-1} \xi^2}{|x|^{2s}} dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} a(x) v(w+\theta)^{2q-1} \xi^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

But,

$$(A.13) \quad \mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{w(w+\theta)^{2q-1} \xi^2}{|x|^{2s}} dx \leq \mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{(w+\theta)^{2q} \xi^2}{|x|^{2s}} dx \leq \frac{\mu}{\bar{\mu}} \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}(\xi(w+\theta)^q)|^2 dx.$$

From the assumptions on q and μ , (A.12) and (A.13), we can deduce

$$(A.14) \quad C' \left(\int_{\Omega} (\xi(w+\theta)^q)^{2^*_s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*_s}} + O((\theta^s)^{2q-1}) \leq \int_{\Omega} a(x) v(w+\theta)^{2q-1} \xi^2 dx,$$

and

$$(A.15) \quad C' \int_{\Omega} \mu \frac{(w+\theta)^{2q} \xi^2}{|x|^{2s}} dx + O((\theta^s)^{2q-1}) \leq \int_{\Omega} a(x) v(w+\theta)^{2q-1} \xi^2 dx.$$

Letting $\theta \rightarrow 0$ in (A.14) and (A.15), we find

$$C' \left(\int_{\Omega} w^{q2_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}} \leq \int_{\Omega} a(x) v w^{2q-1} dx \leq \|a\|_{\frac{N}{2s}} \|v\|_p \|w\|_p^{2q-1},$$

and

$$C' \int_{\Omega} \mu \frac{w^{2q}}{|x|^{2s}} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} a(x) v w^{2q-1} dx \leq \|a\|_{\frac{N}{2s}} \|v\|_p \|w\|_p^{2q-1}.$$

Therefore, the result follows. $\square$

Lemma A.3. *Let w be a solution of*

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s w - \frac{\mu w}{|x|^{2s}} = f(x), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ w = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that $f \in C^s(\Omega \setminus B_{\delta}(0))$ for any small $\delta > 0$. Then for any $\frac{N}{2s} > p \geq 1$ and μ with $\frac{Np}{N-2sp} < \frac{2_s^* \sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\mu} - \mu}$, there is a constant $C = C(p)$ such that

$$\|w\|_{*, \frac{Np}{N-2sp}} \leq C \|f\|_p.$$

Proof. Similar to the proof of Lemma A.2, we can deduce if $q > \frac{1}{2}$ with $q < \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\mu} - \mu}$, then

$$C' \|w\|_{2_s^* q}^{2q} \leq \int_{\Omega} f(x) w^{2q-1} dx,$$

and

$$C' \int_{\Omega} \frac{\mu |w|^{2q}}{|x|^{2s}} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} f(x) w^{2q-1} dx.$$

For any $\frac{N}{2s} > p \geq 1$, see $2p - 2_s^*(p-1) > 0$. Let $q = \frac{p}{2p - 2_s^*(p-1)} > \frac{1}{2}$. Then

$$(A.16) \quad 2_s^* q = \frac{2_s^* p}{2p - 2_s^*(p-1)} = \frac{Np}{N - 2sp} \quad \text{and} \quad (2q-1) \frac{p}{p-1} = 2_s^* q.$$

So,

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} f(x) w^{2q-1} dx \right| \leq \|f\|_p \left(\int_{\Omega} \|w\|^{\frac{(2q-1)p}{p-1}} dx \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \leq \|f\|_p \|w\|_{2_s^* q}^{2q-1}.$$

As a result,

$$\|w\|_{2_s^* q} \leq C \|f\|_p,$$

and

$$\mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{|w|^{2q}}{|x|^{2s}} dx \leq C \|f\|_p^{2q}.$$

So the result follows. $\square$

Lemma A.4. *Let $w \geq 0$ be a solution of*

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s w - \frac{\mu w}{|x|^{2s}} = a(x)v, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ w = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $a(x) \geq 0$ and $v \geq 0$ are functions satisfying $a, v \in C^{2s}(\Omega \setminus B_{\delta}(0))$ for any small $\delta > 0$. Then for any $2_s^* > p_2 > \frac{N}{N-2s}$, there is a constant $C = C(p_2)$ such that

$$\|w\|_{*, p_2} \leq C \|a\|_r \|v\|_{2_s^*},$$

where r is determined by $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{2s}{N} - \frac{1}{2_s^*}$.

Proof. Let $q = \frac{2p_2}{2_s^*}$. Since $p_2 \in (\frac{N}{N-2s}, 2_s^*)$, we see $\frac{q}{2} \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Let $t = \frac{2N}{N+2s}$. Similar to the proof of Lemma A.2, we obtain

$$(A.17) \quad C\|w\|_{p_2}^q = C\|w\|_{\frac{2_s^*q}{2}}^q \leq \int_{\Omega} a(x)vw^{q-1}dx \leq \|v\|_{2_s^*}\|a\|_r \left(\int_{\Omega} |w|^{\frac{(q-1)tr}{r-t}} dx \right)^{\frac{r-t}{rt}},$$

and

$$C \int_{\Omega} \frac{\mu|w|^q}{|x|^{2s}} dx \leq \|v\|_{2_s^*}\|a\|_r \left(\int_{\Omega} |w|^{\frac{(q-1)tr}{r-t}} dx \right)^{\frac{r-t}{rt}}.$$

By the definition, choose

$$\frac{(q-1)tr}{r-t} = \frac{\frac{2p_2}{2_s^*} - 1}{\frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{r}} = p_2.$$

So,

$$\|w\|_{p_2}^q \leq C\|v\|_{2_s^*}\|a\|_r\|w\|_{p_2}^{q-1}.$$

Moreover, it is easy to check

$$\frac{1}{p_2} = \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{2_s^*} - \frac{2s}{N}.$$

Therefore, the result follows. $\square$

Lemma A.5. *Let $w \geq 0$ be a weak solution of*

$$(-\Delta)^s w - \frac{\mu w}{|x|^{2s}} = a(x)w \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $a(x) \geq 0$. Suppose that there is a small constant $\delta > 0$ such that $\int_{B_1(\bar{x})} |a|^{\frac{N}{2s}} dx \leq \delta$, then, for any $p > \max\{2_s^*, 2^\sharp\}$ and $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu}$ satisfying $p < \min\{\frac{2_s^*\sqrt{\bar{\mu}}}{\sqrt{\bar{\mu}}-\sqrt{\bar{\mu}-\mu}}, \frac{2^\sharp\sqrt{\bar{\mu}}}{\sqrt{\bar{\mu}}-\sqrt{\bar{\mu}-\mu}}\}$ there is a constant $C = C(p)$ such that

$$\|\bar{w}\|_{L^p(t^{1-2s}, B_{\frac{1}{2}}^{N+1}(\bar{x}))} + \|w\|_{L^p(B_{\frac{1}{2}}^N(\bar{x}))} \leq C\|\bar{w}\|_{L^\gamma(t^{1-2s}, B_1^{N+1}(\bar{x}))},$$

where $\gamma < \min\{2_s^*, 2^\sharp\}$ and $2^\sharp = \frac{2(N+1)}{N}$.

Proof. Let $1 \geq R > r > 0$. Take $\bar{\xi} \in C_0^2(B_R^{N+1}(\bar{x}))$, with $\bar{\xi} = 1$ in $B_r^{N+1}(\bar{x})$, $0 \leq \bar{\xi} \leq 1$, and $|\nabla \bar{\xi}| \leq \frac{C}{R-r}$. Let $q = \frac{p}{2_s^*}$, $\bar{\eta} = \bar{\xi}^2 \bar{w} \bar{w}_L^{2(q-1)}$. We have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} \nabla \bar{w} \nabla \bar{\eta} dx dt - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\mu}{|x|^{2s}} w \eta dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) w \eta dx.$$

Firstly, by Hölder inequality, we have

$$(A.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) w \eta dx &\leq \left(\int_{B_1(\bar{x})} |a(x)|^{\frac{N}{2s}} dx \right)^{\frac{2s}{N}} \left(\int_{B_R(\bar{x})} (\xi w w_L^{q-1})^{2_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}} \\ &\leq C \delta \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} |\nabla(\bar{\xi} \bar{w} \bar{w}_L^{q-1})|^2 dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, similar to the proof of Lemma A.2, by Hardy-Sobolev inequality we can deduce that if $q < \frac{\sqrt{\bar{\mu}}}{\sqrt{\bar{\mu}}-\sqrt{\bar{\mu}-\mu}}$, then

$$(A.19) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} \nabla \bar{w} \nabla \bar{\eta} dx dt - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\mu}{|x|^{2s}} w \eta dx \\ &\geq C' \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} |\nabla(\bar{\xi} \bar{w} \bar{w}_L^{q-1})|^2 dx dt - C \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} |\nabla \bar{\xi}|^2 (\bar{w} \bar{w}_L^{q-1})^2 dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

If δ is small enough, it follows from (A.18) and (A.19) that there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$(A.20) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} |\nabla(\bar{\xi} \bar{w} \bar{w}_L^{q-1})|^2 dx dt \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} |\nabla \bar{\xi}|^2 (\bar{w} \bar{w}_L^{q-1})^2 dx dt.$$

Using the Sobolev inequality and Lemma 3.1, we obtain from (A.20) that

$$(A.21) \quad \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\xi w w_L^{q-1})^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} (\bar{\xi} \bar{w} \bar{w}_L^{q-1})^{\frac{2(N+1)}{N}} dx dt \right)^{\frac{N}{N+1}} \\ \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} |\nabla(\bar{\xi} \bar{w} \bar{w}_L^{q-1})|^2 dx dt \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} |\nabla \bar{\xi}|^2 (\bar{w} \bar{w}_L^{q-1})^2 dx dt,$$

which yields

$$(A.22) \quad \left(\int_{B_r(\bar{x})} |w|^{q 2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q 2^*}} \leq \left(\frac{C}{R-r} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{B_R^{N+1}(\bar{x}) \setminus B_r^{N+1}(\bar{x})} t^{1-2s} |\bar{w}|^{2q} dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2q}},$$

and

$$(A.23) \quad \left(\int_{B_r^{N+1}(\bar{x})} t^{1-2s} |\bar{w}|^{q 2^\sharp} dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q 2^\sharp}} \leq \left(\frac{C}{R-r} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{B_R^{N+1}(\bar{x}) \setminus B_r^{N+1}(\bar{x})} t^{1-2s} |\bar{w}|^{2q} dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2q}},$$

where $2^\sharp = \frac{2(N+1)}{N}$.

Let $\chi = \frac{2^\sharp}{2} = \frac{N+1}{N} > 1$. For any $0 < r^* < R^* < 1$, define $r_i = r^* + \frac{1}{2^i}(R^* - r^*)$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then $r_i - r_{i+1} = \frac{1}{2^{i+1}}(R^* - r^*)$. Taking $R = r_i$, $r = r_{i+1}$, $q = \chi^i$ in (A.23), we get

$$(A.24) \quad \left(\int_{B_{r_{i+1}}^{N+1}(\bar{x})} t^{1-2s} |\bar{w}|^{2\chi^{i+1}} dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2\chi^{i+1}}} \leq \left(\frac{C 2^{i+1}}{R^* - r^*} \right)^{\frac{1}{\chi^i}} \left(\int_{B_{r_i}^{N+1}(\bar{x})} t^{1-2s} |\bar{w}|^{2\chi^i} dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2\chi^i}}.$$

By iteration, for any $0 < r^* < R^* < 1$, we can obtain from (A.24)

$$(A.25) \quad \left(\int_{B_{r_{i+1}}^{N+1}(\bar{x})} t^{1-2s} |\bar{w}|^{2\chi^{i+1}} dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2\chi^{i+1}}} \leq \frac{C}{(R^* - r^*)^{\sum_{j=1}^i \frac{1}{\chi^j}}} \left(\int_{B_{R^*}^{N+1}(\bar{x})} t^{1-2s} |\bar{w}|^{2^\sharp} dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2^\sharp}}.$$

Note that $\sum_{j=1}^i \frac{1}{\chi^j} < \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\chi^j} = \frac{\frac{1}{\chi}}{1 - \frac{1}{\chi}} = N$. Hence, we have proved that for any $p > 2^\sharp$ satisfying $p < \frac{2^\sharp \sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\mu} - \mu}$, there is a $\sigma > 0$ depending on p such that

$$(A.26) \quad \|\bar{w}\|_{L^p(t^{1-2s}, B_r^{N+1}(\bar{x}))} \leq \frac{C}{(R-r)^\sigma} \|\bar{w}\|_{L^{2^\sharp}(t^{1-2s}, B_R^{N+1}(\bar{x}))}, \quad 0 < r < R \leq 1.$$

Applying Young's inequality, we have

$$(A.27) \quad \frac{C}{(R-r)^\sigma} \left(\int_{B_R^{N+1}(\bar{x})} t^{1-2s} |\bar{w}|^{2^\sharp} dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2^\sharp}} \\ \leq \frac{C}{(R-r)^\sigma} \left(\int_{B_R^{N+1}(\bar{x})} t^{1-2s} |\bar{w}|^\gamma dx dt \right)^{\frac{\kappa}{\gamma}} \left(\int_{B_R^{N+1}(\bar{x})} t^{1-2s} |\bar{w}|^p dx dt \right)^{\frac{1-\kappa}{p}} \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\bar{w}\|_{L^p(t^{1-2s}, B_R^{N+1}(\bar{x}))} + \frac{C}{(R-r)^{\frac{\sigma}{\kappa}}} \|\bar{w}\|_{L^\gamma(t^{1-2s}, B_R^{N+1}(\bar{x}))},$$

where $0 < \kappa < 1$, $\gamma < 2^\sharp$ and $p > 2^\sharp$ with $p < \frac{2^\sharp \sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\mu} - \mu}$.

So,

$$(A.28) \quad \|\bar{w}\|_{L^p(t^{1-2s}, B_r^{N+1}(\bar{x}))} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\bar{w}\|_{L^p(t^{1-2s}, B_R^{N+1}(\bar{x}))} + \frac{C}{(R-r)^{\frac{\sigma}{\kappa}}} \|\bar{w}\|_{L^\gamma(t^{1-2s}, B_R^{N+1}(\bar{x}))},$$

where $\gamma < 2^\sharp$ and $2^\sharp < p < \frac{2^\sharp \sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\mu} - \mu}$.

By using iteration argument, we deduce from (A.28) that for any $p > 2^\sharp$

$$\|\bar{w}\|_{L^p(t^{1-2s}, B_r^{N+1}(\bar{x}))} \leq \frac{C}{(R-r)^{\frac{2}{\kappa}}} \|\bar{w}\|_{L^\gamma(t^{1-2s}, B_R^{N+1}(\bar{x}))},$$

where $p > 2^\sharp$ satisfies $p < \frac{2^\sharp \sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\mu - \mu}}$ and $\gamma < 2^\sharp$.

Similarly, by applying (A.22) and iteration argument, we can get that

$$\|w\|_{L^p(B_{\frac{1}{2}}^N(\bar{x}))} \leq C \|\bar{w}\|_{L^\gamma(t^{1-2s}, B_1^{N+1}(\bar{x}))},$$

where $p > 2_s^*$ satisfies $p < \frac{2_s^* \sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\mu - \mu}}$ and $\gamma < 2_s^*$. This completes our proof. $\square$

APPENDIX B. A Decay Estimate

Let u be a solution of

$$(B.1) \quad \begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u - \frac{\mu u}{|x|^{2s}} = |u|^{2_s^*-2} u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N). \end{cases}$$

In this section, we will estimate the decay of the solution of (B.1). We have the following result:

Lemma B.1. *Let u be a solution of (B.1). Then there exists a constant $\beta \in (s, \frac{N-2s}{2})$ such that*

$$|u(x)| \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{\frac{N-2s}{2} + \beta}}, \quad \forall |x| \geq 1.$$

First, similar to Proposition B.1 in [15], we can prove

Lemma B.2. *Let u be a solution of (B.1). Then there exists a constant $\tilde{\beta} > \frac{N-2s}{2}$ such that*

$$|u(x)| \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{N+\tilde{\beta}}}, \quad \forall |x| \geq 1.$$

Proof. Choose $R_0 > 0$ large. For any $R > r > R_0$, take $\bar{\xi} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1})$ with $\bar{\xi} = 0$ in $B_r^{N+1}(0)$, $\bar{\xi} = 1$ in $\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \setminus B_R^{N+1}(0)$, $0 \leq \bar{\xi} \leq 1$ and $|\nabla \bar{\xi}| \leq \frac{C}{R-r}$. Let $\tilde{\eta} = \bar{\xi}^2 \bar{u}_+^{1+2(q-1)}$. Since for any small $\delta > 0$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{R_0}(0)} |u|^{(2_s^*-2)\frac{N}{2s}} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{R_0}(0)} |u|^{2_s^*} dx \leq \delta,$$

if $R_0 > 0$ large enough, we can prove in a similar way as in (A.26) that for any $2^\sharp < p < \frac{2^\sharp \sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\mu - \mu}}$, there is an $R > 0$ large depending on p such that

$$(B.2) \quad \|\bar{u}_+\|_{L^p(t^{1-2s}, \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \setminus B_{2R}^{N+1}(0))} \leq \frac{C}{R^{N-\delta_p}} \|\bar{u}_+\|_{L^{2^\sharp}(t^{1-2s}, \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \setminus B_R^{N+1}(0))},$$

where $\delta_p > 0$ depending on p and $2^\sharp = \frac{2(N+1)}{N}$.

Next we estimate $\|\bar{u}_+\|_{L^{2^\sharp}(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \setminus B_R^{N+1}(0))}$. Let $\bar{\eta} = \bar{\xi}^2 \bar{u}$, $\bar{\xi} = 0$ in $B_R^{N+1}(0)$, $\bar{\xi} = 1$ in $\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \setminus B_{2R}^{N+1}(0)$, $0 \leq \bar{\xi} \leq 1$ and $|\nabla \bar{\xi}| \leq \frac{C}{R}$. Then similar to the proof of (A.21), by Hölder inequality we have

$$(B.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} |\xi \bar{u}_+|^{2^\sharp} dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2^\sharp}} &\leq \frac{C}{R} \left(\int_{B_{2R}^{N+1}(0) \setminus B_R^{N+1}(0)} t^{1-2s} |\bar{u}_+|^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{R^{1-\frac{N+2-2s}{2(N+1)}}} \left(\int_{B_{2R}^{N+1}(0) \setminus B_R^{N+1}(0)} t^{1-2s} |\bar{u}_+|^{2^\sharp} dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2^\sharp}}. \end{aligned}$$

As a result,

(B.4)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \setminus B_{2R}^{N+1}(0)} t^{1-2s} |\bar{u}_+|^{2^\sharp} dx dt \\ & \leq \left(\frac{C}{R^A} \right)^{2^\sharp} \int_{B_{2R}^{N+1}(0) \setminus B_R^{N+1}(0)} t^{1-2s} |\bar{u}_+|^{2^\sharp} dx dt \\ & = \left(\frac{C}{R^A} \right)^{2^\sharp} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \setminus B_R^{N+1}(0)} t^{1-2s} |\bar{u}_+|^{2^\sharp} dx dt - \left(\frac{C}{R^A} \right)^{2^\sharp} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \setminus B_{2R}^{N+1}(0)} t^{1-2s} |\bar{u}_+|^{2^\sharp} dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $A = 1 - \frac{N+2-2s}{2(N+1)}$. So,

$$(B.5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \setminus B_{2R}^{N+1}(0)} t^{1-2s} |\bar{u}_+|^{2^\sharp} dx dt \leq \frac{C'}{1+C'} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \setminus B_R^{N+1}(0)} t^{1-2s} |\bar{u}_+|^{2^\sharp} dx dt,$$

where

$$(B.6) \quad C' = \left(\frac{C}{R^A} \right)^{2^\sharp}.$$

Let $\Psi(R) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \setminus B_R^{N+1}(0)} t^{1-2s} |u_+|^{2^\sharp} dx dt$ and $\tau = \frac{C'}{1+C'}$. Then from (B.5),

$$\Psi(2R) \leq \tau \Psi(R), \quad \forall R \geq R_0,$$

which implies that

$$\Psi(2^i R_0) \leq \tau^i \Psi(R_0).$$

For any $|(x, t)| \geq R_0$, there is an i such that

$$2^i R_0 \leq |(x, t)| \leq 2^{i+1} R_0.$$

Hence

$$\Psi(|(x, t)|) \leq \Psi(2^i R_0) \leq \tau^i \Psi(R_0) \leq \tau^{\log_2 |(x, t)| - \log_2 (2R_0)} \Psi(R_0).$$

Since

$$\tau^{\log_2 |(x, t)|} = 2^{\log_2 |(x, t)| \log_2 \tau} = |(x, t)|^{\log_2 \tau},$$

we have

$$\Psi(|(x, t)|) \leq C |(x, t)|^{\log_2 \tau} = \frac{C}{|(x, t)|^{\log_2 \frac{1}{\tau}}}.$$

So we have proved that there is a $\sigma > 0$ independent of p such that

$$\Psi(|(x, t)|) \leq \frac{C}{|(x, t)|^\sigma}, \quad |(x, t)| \geq R_0,$$

where $\sigma = \log_2 \frac{1}{\tau}$. Fix $p > 2^\sharp$ and $p < \frac{2^\sharp \sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\mu} - \mu}$. It follows from (B.2) that

$$\|\bar{u}_+\|_{L^p(t^{1-2s}, \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \setminus B_{2R}^{N+1}(0))} \leq \frac{C}{R^{N-\delta_p+\frac{\sigma}{2^\sharp}}}, \quad |(x, t)| = R \geq R_0.$$

Using the definition of τ , we can choose R_0 large enough such that $\frac{\sigma}{2^\sharp} - \delta_p \geq \tilde{\beta} > \frac{N-2s}{2}$ and then

$$(B.7) \quad \|\bar{u}_+\|_{L^p(t^{1-2s}, \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \setminus B_{2R}^{N+1}(0))} \leq \frac{C}{R^{N+\tilde{\beta}}}, \quad |x| = R \geq R_0.$$

Similarly,

$$(B.8) \quad \|(-\bar{u})_+\|_{L^p(t^{1-2s}, \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \setminus B_{2R}^{N+1}(0))} \leq \frac{C}{R^{N+\tilde{\beta}}}, \quad |x| = R \geq R_0.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\|\bar{u}\|_{L^p(t^{1-2s}, \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \setminus B_{2R}^{N+1}(0))} \leq \frac{C}{R^{N+\tilde{\beta}}}, \quad |x| = R \geq R_0.$$

Now for any (x, t) with $|(x, t)| = 4R > 2R_0$,

$$|\bar{u}(x, t)| \leq \max_{(y, \tilde{t}) \in B_1^{N+1}(x, t)} |\bar{u}(y, \tilde{t})| \leq C \|\bar{u}\|_{L^p(t^{1-2s}, B_2^{N+1}(x, t))} \leq C \|\bar{u}\|_{L^p(t^{1-2s}, \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \setminus B_{2R}^{N+1}(0))} \leq \frac{C}{R^{N+\tilde{\beta}}}.$$

By the fact that $u(x) = \bar{u}(x, 0)$, the result follows. $\square$

Proof of Lemma B.1. For every $\alpha \in (-\frac{N}{2} - s, \frac{N}{2} - s)$, let $\vartheta_\alpha = |x|^{\frac{2s-N}{2}+\alpha}$. Then it follows from Lemma 3.1 in [23] that

$$(-\Delta)^s \vartheta_\alpha = \Upsilon_\alpha |x|^{-2s} \vartheta_\alpha \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\},$$

where

$$\Upsilon_\alpha = 2^{2s} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{N+2s+2\alpha}{4}) \Gamma(\frac{N+2s-2\alpha}{4})}{\Gamma(\frac{N-2s-2\alpha}{4}) \Gamma(\frac{N-2s+2\alpha}{4})}.$$

So by the assumption $0 \leq \mu < \Upsilon_s$ and Υ_α is even on α , we can find $\bar{\alpha} \in (-\frac{N-2s}{2}, -s)$ such that for $|x| \geq 1$,

$$(-\Delta)^s \vartheta_{\bar{\alpha}} - \mu |x|^{-2s} \vartheta_{\bar{\alpha}} = (\Upsilon_{\bar{\alpha}} - \mu) |x|^{-\frac{2s+N}{2}+\bar{\alpha}} \geq \frac{C}{|x|^{(N+\tilde{\beta})(2_s^*-1)}}.$$

On the other hand, from Lemma B.2,

$$(-\Delta)^s u_+ - \mu |x|^{-2s} u_+ \leq u_+^{2_s^*-1} \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{(N+\tilde{\beta})(2_s^*-1)}}, \quad |x| \geq 1.$$

Letting $\beta = -\bar{\alpha}$, by comparison, we have

$$u_+(x) \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{\frac{N-2s}{2}+\beta}}, \quad |x| \geq 1,$$

where $\beta \in (s, \frac{N-2s}{2})$. Similarly,

$$(-u)_+(x) \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{\frac{N-2s}{2}+\beta}}, \quad |x| \geq 1.$$

$\square$

Proposition B.3. Let u be a solution of (B.1). Then, we have

$$|u(x)| \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{\frac{N-2s}{2}-\beta}}, \quad \forall |x| \leq 1,$$

where β is given in Lemma B.1.

Proof. Applying the Kelvin transformation $v(x) = |x|^{2s-N} u(\frac{x}{|x|^2})$, we know that v satisfies

$$(-\Delta)^s v - \frac{\mu v}{|x|^{2s}} = |v|^{2_s^*-2} v, \quad \forall |x| \geq 1,$$

where $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu}$. By Lemma B.1, we have

$$|v(x)| \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{\frac{N-2s}{2}+\beta}}, \quad \forall |x| \geq 1.$$

As a result,

$$|u(x)| \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{\frac{N-2s}{2}-\beta}}, \quad \forall |x| \leq 1.$$

Thus, the result follows. $\square$

APPENDIX C. **A local Pohozaev identity**

In this section, we give a local Pohozaev identity. For $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}$, we recall that $\partial_+\mathcal{F} = \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} : (x, t) \in \partial\mathcal{F} \text{ and } t > 0\}$ and $\partial_b\mathcal{F} = \partial\mathcal{F} \cap (\mathbb{R}^N \times \{0\})$. We have the following result.

Proposition C.1. Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}$ and we assume that $\bar{u}$ is a solution of

$$(C.1) \quad \begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(t^{1-2s}\nabla\bar{u}) = 0, & \text{in } E, \\ \mathcal{A}_s(\bar{u}) = \frac{\mu}{|x|^{2s}}u + |u|^{p-2}u + au, & \text{on } \partial_b E. \end{cases}$$

Then for $\mathcal{F} \subset E$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{N}{p} - \frac{N-2s}{2}\right) \int_{\partial_b\mathcal{F}} |u|^p dx + sa \int_{\partial_b\mathcal{F}} |u|^2 dx + s\mu \int_{\partial_b\mathcal{F}} \frac{x \cdot x_0 |u|^2}{|x|^{2s+2}} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\partial_b\mathcal{F}} \left(a + \frac{\mu}{|x|^{2s}}\right) |u|^2 (x - x_0) \cdot \nu_x dS_x + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial\partial_b\mathcal{F}} |u|^p (x - x_0) \cdot \nu_x dS_x \\ &+ \int_{\partial_+\mathcal{F}} t^{1-2s} \left((z - z_0, \nabla\bar{u}) \nabla\bar{u} - (z - z_0) \frac{|\nabla\bar{u}|^2}{2}, \nu_z \right) dS_z \\ &+ \frac{N-2s}{2} \int_{\partial_+\mathcal{F}} t^{1-2s} \bar{u} \frac{\partial\bar{u}}{\partial\nu_z} dS_z. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{div}(t^{1-2s}\nabla\bar{u})(z - z_0, \nabla\bar{u}) \\ &= \operatorname{div}[t^{1-2s}\nabla\bar{u}(z - z_0, \nabla\bar{u})] - (t^{1-2s}\nabla\bar{u}, \nabla(z - z_0, \nabla\bar{u})) \\ &= \operatorname{div}[t^{1-2s}\nabla\bar{u}(z - z_0, \nabla\bar{u})] - t^{1-2s} \left[(z - z_0, \nabla\left(\frac{|\nabla\bar{u}|^2}{2}\right)) + |\nabla\bar{u}|^2 \right] \\ &= \operatorname{div}\left[t^{1-2s}\nabla\bar{u}(z - z_0, \nabla\bar{u}) - t^{1-2s}(z - z_0) \frac{|\nabla\bar{u}|^2}{2}\right] + \frac{N-2s}{2} t^{1-2s} |\nabla\bar{u}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\operatorname{div}\left\{t^{1-2s}(z - z_0, \nabla\bar{u})\nabla\bar{u} - t^{1-2s}\frac{|\nabla\bar{u}|^2}{2}(z - z_0)\right\} + \frac{N-2s}{2} t^{1-2s} |\nabla\bar{u}|^2 = 0.$$

So we find

$$(C.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial_+\mathcal{F}} t^{1-2s} \left((z - z_0, \nabla\bar{u}) \nabla\bar{u} - (z - z_0) \frac{|\nabla\bar{u}|^2}{2}, \nu_z \right) dS_z \\ &= - \int_{\partial_b\mathcal{F}} (x - x_0, \nabla_x \bar{u}) \mathcal{A}_s \bar{u} dx - \frac{N-2s}{2} \int_{\mathcal{F}} t^{1-2s} |\nabla\bar{u}|^2 dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

By using the fact that $\mathcal{A}_s \bar{u} = \frac{\mu}{|x|^{2s}}u + |u|^{p-2}u + au$ on $\partial_b\mathcal{F}$ and performing integration by parts, one has

$$(C.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\partial_b\mathcal{F}} (x - x_0, \nabla_x \bar{u}) \mathcal{A}_s \bar{u} dx &= \frac{-N+2s}{2} \mu \int_{\partial_b\mathcal{F}} \frac{u^2}{|x|^{2s}} dx - s\mu \int_{\partial_b\mathcal{F}} \frac{x \cdot x_0 |u|^2}{|x|^{2s+2}} dx \\ &+ \frac{\mu}{2} \int_{\partial\partial_b\mathcal{F}} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^{2s}} (x - x_0) \cdot \nu_x dS_x \\ &- \frac{N}{p} \int_{\partial_b\mathcal{F}} |u|^p dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial\partial_b\mathcal{F}} |u|^p (x - x_0) \cdot \nu_x dS_x \\ &- \frac{Na}{2} \int_{\partial_b\mathcal{F}} |u|^2 dx + \frac{a}{2} \int_{\partial\partial_b\mathcal{F}} |u|^2 (x - x_0) \cdot \nu_x dS_x, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial_b \mathcal{F}} (x - x_0, \nabla_x \bar{u}) \frac{u}{|x|^{2s}} dx &= \frac{-N + 2s}{2} \int_{\partial_b \mathcal{F}} \frac{u^2}{|x|^{2s}} dx - s \int_{\partial_b \mathcal{F}} \frac{x \cdot x_0 |u|^2}{|x|^{2s+2}} dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial_b \mathcal{F}} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^{2s}} (x - x_0) \cdot \nu_x dS_x, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{\partial_b \mathcal{F}} (x - x_0, \nabla_x \bar{u}) |u|^{p-2} u dx = -\frac{N}{p} \int_{\partial_b \mathcal{F}} |u|^p dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial_b \mathcal{F}} |u|^p (x - x_0) \cdot \nu_x dS_x.$$

On the other hand,

$$(C.4) \quad \int_{\mathcal{F}} t^{1-2s} |\nabla \bar{u}|^2 dx dt = \int_{\partial_b \mathcal{F}} \left(\frac{\mu}{|x|^{2s}} u^2 + |u|^p + au^2 \right) dx + \int_{\partial_+ \mathcal{F}} t^{1-2s} \bar{u} \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial \nu_z} dS_z.$$

Thus, from (C.2) to (C.4), the desired result follows. $\square$

APPENDIX D. Decomposition of approximating solutions

In this section, we give a result describing the composition of approximating solutions bounded in $H_0^s(\Omega)$ obtained as a solution of (1.6) with $\epsilon = \epsilon_n$.

Proposition D.1. Suppose that $N > 6s$. Let u_n be a solution of (1.6) with $\epsilon = \epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$, satisfying $\|u_n\| \leq C$ for some constant C . Then

(i) u_n can be decomposed as

$$(D.1) \quad u_n = u_0 + \sum_{j=1}^m \rho_{0, \Lambda_{n,j}}(U_j) + \sum_{j=m+1}^h \rho_{x_{n,j}, \Lambda_{n,j}}(U_j) + \omega_n,$$

where $\omega_n \rightarrow 0$ in $H^s(\Omega)$, u_0 is a solution for (1.1). For $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, $\Lambda_{n,j} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and U_j is a solution of

$$(D.2) \quad (-\Delta)^s u - \mu \frac{u}{|x|^{2s}} = b_j |u|^{2_s^*-2} u, \quad u \in D^s(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

for some $b_j \in (0, 1]$. For $j = m+1, m+2, \dots, h$, $x_{n,j} \in \Omega$, $\Lambda_{n,j} d(x_{n,j}, \partial\Omega) \rightarrow \infty$, $\Lambda_{n,j} |x_{n,j}| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and U_j is a solution of

$$(D.3) \quad (-\Delta)^s u = b_j |u|^{2_s^*-2} u, \quad u \in D^s(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

for some $b_j \in (0, 1]$.

(ii) Set $x_{n,i} = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. For $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, h$, if $i \neq j$, then as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(D.4) \quad \frac{\Lambda_{n,j}}{\Lambda_{n,i}} + \frac{\Lambda_{n,i}}{\Lambda_{n,j}} + \Lambda_{n,j} \Lambda_{n,i} |x_{n,i} - x_{n,j}|^2 \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to [2, 15, 16, 18] and we omit the details. $\square$

Acknowledgements: This paper was partially supported by NSFC (No.11301204; No.11371159; No. 11561043), self-determined research funds of CCNU from the colleges' basic research and operation of MOE (CCNU14A05036).

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Abdelouhab, J. Bona, M. Felland, J. Saut, Nonlocal models for nonlinear dispersive waves. *Phys. D* 40 (1989), 360-392.
- [2] S. Almaraz, Convergence of scalar-flat metrics on manifolds with boundary under the Yamabe flow. [arXiv:1206.1184v2 \[math.DG\]](#) 6 Nov 2012.
- [3] A. Ambrosetti, P. H. Rabinowitz, Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications. *J. Functional Analysis* 14 (1973), 349-381.
- [4] D. Applebaum, Lévy processes-from probability to finance and quantum groups, *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.* 51 (2004), 1336-1347.
- [5] B. Barrios, E. Colorado, A. de Pablo, U. Sánchez, On some critical problems for the fractional Laplacian operator. *J. Differential Equations* 252 (2012), 6133-6162.
- [6] B. Barrios, M. Medina, I. Peral, Some remarks on the solvability of non-local elliptic problems with the Hardy potential. *Commun. Contemp. Math.* 16, 1350046 (2014) [29 pages] DOI: 10.1142/S0219199713500466.
- [7] W. Beckner, Pitt's inequality and the uncertainty principle. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 123 (1995), 1897-1905.
- [8] K. Bogdan, K. Burdzy, Z-Q. Chen, Censored stable processes. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* 127 (2003), 89-152.
- [9] C. Brändle, E. Colorado, A. de Pablo and U. Sánchez, A concave-convex elliptic problem involving the fractional Laplacian. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* 143 (2013), 39-71.
- [10] H. Brezis, T. Kato, Remarks on the operator with singular complex potentials. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 58 (1979), 137-151.
- [11] X. Cabré, Y. Sire, Nonlinear equations for fractional Laplacians, I: Regularity, maximum principles, and Hamiltonian estimates. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 31 (2014), 23-53.
- [12] X. Cabré and J. Tan, Positive solutions of nonlinear problems involving the square root of the Laplacian. *Adv. Math.* 224 (2010), 2052-2093.
- [13] L. Caffarelli, L. Silvestre, An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 32 (2007) 1245-1260.
- [14] D. Cao, P. Han, Solutions to critical elliptic equations with multi-singular inverse square potentials. *J. Differential Equations* 224 (2006), 332-372.
- [15] D. Cao, S. Peng, S. Yan, Infinitely many solutions for p-Laplacian equation involving critical Sobolev growth. *J. Funct. Anal.* 262(2012), 2861-2902.
- [16] D. Cao, S. Yan, Infinitely many solutions for an elliptic problem involving critical Sobolev growth and Hardy potential. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 38 (2010), 471-501.
- [17] A. Capella, J. Dávila, L. Dupaigne, Y. Sire, Regularity of radial extremal solutions for some non-local semilinear equations. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 36(2011), 1353-1384.
- [18] W. Choi, J. Seok, Infinitely many solutions for semilinear nonlocal elliptic equations under noncompact settings. [arXiv:1404.1132v1 \[math.AP\]](#) 4 Apr 2014.
- [19] J. Dávila, M. Del Pino, Y. Sire, Non degeneracy of the bubble in the critical case for non local equation. [arXiv:1302.0276v1](#).
- [20] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci, E. Valdinoci, Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces. *Bull. Sci. Math.* 136 (2012), 521-573.
- [21] A. Elgart, B. Schlein, Mean field dynamics of boson stars. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 60 (2007), 500-545.
- [22] E.B. Fabes, C.E. Kenig, R.P. Serapioni, The local regularity of degenerate elliptic equations. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 7 (1982), 77-116.
- [23] M. Fall, Semilinear elliptic equations for the fractional Laplacian with Hardy potential. [arXiv: 1109.5530v4 \[math.AP\]](#) 26 Oct 2011.
- [24] P. Felmer, A. Quaas, J. Tan, Positive solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with the fractional Laplacian. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* 142 (2012), 1237-1262.

- [25] R.L. Frank, A simple proof of Hardy-Lieb-Thirring inequalities. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 290 (2009), 789-800.
- [26] R.L. Frank, E.H. Lieb, R. Seiringer, Hardy-Lieb-Thirring inequalities for fractional Schrödinger operators. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 21 (2008), 925-950.
- [27] R.L. Frank, R. Seiringer, Nonlinear ground state representations and sharp Hardy inequalities. *J. Funct. Anal.* 255 (2008), 3407-3430.
- [28] J. Fröhlich, E. Lenzmann, Blow up for nonlinear wave equations describing boson stars. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 60 (2007), 1691-1705.
- [29] A. Garroni, S. Müller, Γ -limit of a phase-field model of dislocations. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 36 (2005), 1943-1964.
- [30] I.W. Herbst, Spectral theory of the operator $(p^2 + m^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - Ze^2/r$. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 53 (1977), 285-294.
- [31] C. Kenig, Y. Martel, L. Robbiano, Local well-posedness and blow-up in the energy space for a class of L^2 critical dispersion generalized Benjamin-Ono equations. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 28 (2011), 853-887.
- [32] N. Landkof, Foundations of Modern Potential Theory. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 180 (Springer, New York, 1972).
- [33] P. H. Rabinowitz, "Minimax methods in critical points theory with applications to differentietal equations", CBMS Series, No. 65, Providence, RI, (1986).
- [34] X. Ros-Oton, J. Serra, The dirichlet problem for the fractional Laplacian: regularity up to the boundary. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 101 (2014), 275-302.
- [35] L. Silvestre, Regularity of the obstacle problem for a fractional power of the Laplace operator. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 60 (2007), 67-112
- [36] Y. Sire, E. Valdinoci, Fractional Laplacian phase transitions and boundary reactions: a geometric inequality and a symmetry result. *J. Funct. Anal.* 6 (2009), 1842-1864.
- [37] E.M. Stein, G. Weiss, Fractional integrals on n -dimensional Euclidean space. *J. Math. Mech.* 7 (1958), 503-514.
- [38] E. Stein, Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions. Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 30 Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. 1970 xiv+290 pp. (Reviewer: R. E. Edwards) 46.38 (26.00).
- [39] P.S. Stinga, J.L. Torrea, Extension problem and Harnack's inequality for some fractional operators. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 35 (2010), 2092-2122.
- [40] J. Tan, The Brezis-Nirenberg type problem involving the square root of the Laplacian. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 36 (2011), 21-41.
- [41] J. Tan, Positive solutions for nonlocal elliptic problems. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 33(2013), 837-859.
- [42] S. Yan, J. Yang, X. Yu, Equations involving fravtional Laplacian operator: Compactness and applications, *J. Funct. Anal.* 269 (2015), 47-79.
- [43] D. Yafaev, Sharp constants in the Hardy-Rellich inequalities. *J. Funct. Anal.* 168 (1999), 121-144.

[CHUNHUA WANG] SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CENTRAL CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, WUHAN 430079, P. R. CHINA.

E-mail address: [Chunhua Wang] chunhuawang@mail.ccnu.edu.cn

[JING YANG] COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, JIANGSU UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, ZHENJIANG 212003, P. R. CHINA.

E-mail address: [Jing Yang] yyangecho@163.com

[JING ZHOU] SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, SOUTH-CENTRAL UNIVERSITY FOR NATIONALITIES, WUHAN 430074, P. R. CHINA

E-mail address: [Jing Zhou] zhouj@mail.scuec.edu.cn